

String topology for complex projective spaces

Richard Hepworth*
Department of Pure Mathematics
University of Sheffield

Abstract

In 1999 Chas and Sullivan showed that the homology of the free loop space of an oriented manifold admits the structure of a Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra. In this paper we give a complete description of this Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra for complex projective spaces. This builds on a description of the ring structure that is due to Cohen, Jones and Yan. In the course of the proof we establish several new general results. These include a description of how symmetries of a manifold can be used to understand its string topology, and a relationship between characteristic classes and circle actions on sphere bundles.

1 Introduction

1.1 Background and results

Let M be a closed oriented smooth manifold of dimension m and let LM denote the space of free loops in M . Chas and Sullivan [CS99] described how the homology of LM can be endowed with a *loop product*

$$H_*(LM) \otimes H_*(LM) \rightarrow H_{*-m}(LM)$$

and a *BV operator*

$$\Delta: H_*(LM) \rightarrow H_{*+1}(LM)$$

that together make $\mathbb{H}_*(LM) := H_{*+m}(LM)$ into a Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra, or BV algebra. This means that the loop product is associative and graded commutative, that $\Delta^2 = 0$, and that the Batalin-Vilkovisky identity

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(x \cdot y \cdot z) &= \Delta(x \cdot y) \cdot z + (-1)^{|x|} x \cdot \Delta(y \cdot z) + (-1)^{(|x|-1)|y|} y \cdot \Delta(x \cdot z) \\ &\quad - (\Delta x) \cdot y \cdot z - (-1)^{|x|} x \cdot (\Delta y) \cdot z - (-1)^{|x|+|y|} x \cdot y \cdot (\Delta z) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

holds. We will refer to $\mathbb{H}_*(LM)$ as the *string topology BV algebra of M* .

The string topology BV algebra has by now been computed for several classes of manifolds. These are the complex Stiefel manifolds [Tam06] and spheres [Men09], where coefficients were taken in the integers; the complex and quaternionic projective spaces [Yan07] and surfaces of genus $g > 1$ [Vai07], where coefficients were taken in the rational numbers; and the compact Lie groups

*The author is supported by E.P.S.R.C. Postdoctoral Research Fellowship EP/D066980.

[Hep09], where coefficients were taken in any commutative ring. The aim of this paper is to describe the string topology BV algebra for $\mathbb{CP}^n$, $n \geq 1$, with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$. The ring structure has already been computed by Cohen, Jones and Yan:

Theorem (Cohen, Jones, Yan [CJY04]). *As a ring,*

$$\mathbb{H}_*(L\mathbb{CP}^n; \mathbb{Z}) = \frac{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}[w] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[c, v]}{\langle c^{n+1}, (n+1)c^n \cdot v, w \cdot c^n \rangle}, \quad (2)$$

where $|w| = -1$, $|c| = -2$ and $|v| = 2n$.

This theorem allows for some freedom in the choice of generators c , w and v . Any or all of the generators may be negated, and any multiple of $c^n \cdot v^2$ may be added to v , without altering the result. We complete the description of $\mathbb{H}_*(L\mathbb{CP}^n)$ with the following theorem.

Theorem A. *After making an appropriate choice of c , w and v , the BV operator Δ on $\mathbb{H}_*(L\mathbb{CP}^n; \mathbb{Z})$ is given by $\Delta(c^p \cdot v^q) = 0$ and*

$$\Delta(c^p \cdot w \cdot v^q) = [(n-p) + q(n+1)]c^p \cdot v^q + (q+1) \binom{n+1}{2} c^{n+p} \cdot v^{q+1}$$

for all $p, q \geq 0$. Note that $\binom{n+1}{2} c^{n+p} \cdot v^{q+1}$ vanishes when $p > 0$ and when n is even, and that it has order 2 otherwise.

The constants $(n+1)$ and $\binom{n+1}{2}$ appearing in the description of Δ arise from characteristic classes of $\mathbb{CP}^n$. To be precise, they are the quantities $\langle c_n(T\mathbb{CP}^n), [\mathbb{CP}^n] \rangle$ and $\langle c_{n-1}(T\mathbb{CP}^n), [\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}] \rangle$ respectively. It would be interesting to see whether there is a general relationship between the Chern classes of a complex manifold M and the BV algebra $\mathbb{H}_*(LM)$.

The graded ring underlying any Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra, when equipped with the bracket operation $\{a, b\} = (-1)^{|a|} \Delta(a \cdot b) - (-1)^{|a|} (\Delta a) \cdot b - a \cdot (\Delta b)$, becomes a *Gerstenhaber algebra*. This means in particular that the bracket is a derivation in both variables and that $\{a, b\} = -(-1)^{(|a|+1)(|b|+1)} \{b, a\}$. As an immediate consequence of the two theorems above we have the following description of the Gerstenhaber algebra $\mathbb{H}_*(L\mathbb{CP}^n)$:

Corollary. As a Gerstenhaber algebra, $\mathbb{H}_*(L\mathbb{CP}^n; \mathbb{Z})$ is determined by (2), by

$$\begin{aligned} \{c, w\} &= -\{w, c\} = -c, \\ \{v, w\} &= -\{w, v\} = (n+1)v + \binom{n+1}{2} c^n \cdot v^2, \end{aligned}$$

and by the fact that the bracket vanishes on all other pairs among the generators c , w , v .

1.2 Relationship with previous computations

Theorem A, combined with the theorem of Cohen, Jones and Yan, allows us to recover two of the existing computations mentioned in the last subsection.

Theorem (Menichi [Men09]). *The string topology BV algebra of S^2 is given by*

$$\mathbb{H}_*(LS^2; \mathbb{Z}) = \frac{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}[b] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[a, v]}{\langle a^2, a \cdot b, 2a \cdot v \rangle}$$

with $|b| = -1$, $|a| = -2$ and $|v| = 2$. For all $k \geq 0$ we have $\Delta(v^k) = 0$, $\Delta(a \cdot v^k) = 0$ and $\Delta(b \cdot v^k) = (2k+1)v^k + a \cdot v^{k+1}$.

Proof. Since $S^2 = \mathbb{CP}^1$, we may take $n = 1$ in the two theorems of §1.1 to find that $\mathbb{H}_*(LS^2; \mathbb{Z})$ is equal to $(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}[w] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[c, v]) / \langle c^2, 2c \cdot v, w \cdot c \rangle$, that $\Delta(v^k) = 0$, that $\Delta(c \cdot v^k) = 0$, and that $\Delta(w \cdot v^k) = (2k+1)v^k + (k+1)c \cdot v^{k+1}$. The result follows by taking $a = c$, $b = w$, and by replacing v with $v + c \cdot v^2$. $\square$

Theorem (Yang [Yan07]). *The rational string topology BV algebra of $\mathbb{CP}^n$ is given by*

$$\mathbb{H}_*(L\mathbb{CP}^n; \mathbb{Q}) = \frac{\mathbb{Q}[x, u, t]}{\langle x^{n+1}, u^2, x^n \cdot t, u \cdot x^n \rangle}$$

with $|x| = -2$, $|u| = -1$ and $|t| = 2n$, and $\Delta(t^k \cdot x^l) = 0$, $\Delta(t^k \cdot u \cdot x^l) = [-(k+1)n - k + l]t^k \cdot x^l$.

Proof. Since $\mathbb{H}_*(L\mathbb{CP}^n; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{H}_*(L\mathbb{CP}^n; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ as BV algebras, the two theorems of §1.1 give us $\mathbb{H}_*(L\mathbb{CP}^n; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[c, v, w] / \langle c^{n+1}, c^n \cdot v, w \cdot c^n, w^2 \rangle$, $\Delta(c^l \cdot v^k) = 0$ and $\Delta(c^l \cdot w \cdot v^k) = [(n-l) + k(n+1)]c^l \cdot v^k$ for $k, l \geq 0$. The result follows by setting $x = c$, $u = -w$ and $t = v$. $\square$

Even though Theorem A extends these results of Menichi and Yang, our proof is rather different from the proofs given by those authors. Both Menichi and Yang take as their starting point the ring isomorphism of Cohen and Jones [CJ02]

$$\mathbb{H}_*(LM) \cong HH^*(S^*(M), S^*(M)),$$

where M is simply connected and $S^*(M)$ denotes singular cochains. They then proceed by largely algebraic methods. On the other hand our proof, as we shall see below, is entirely topological, taking place always at the level of spaces and their homology.

1.3 Relationship with Hochschild cohomology

One of the most important issues in string topology is to understand the relationship between the string topology of a manifold and the Hochschild cohomology of its singular cochains. As mentioned above, for any simply connected M there is an isomorphism of rings $\mathbb{H}_*(LM) \cong HH^*(S^*(M), S^*(M))$. Both sides of this isomorphism are in fact BV algebras — the left hand side is the string topology BV algebra, and for the right hand side the BV structure has been defined by Tradler [Tra08]. It is therefore natural to ask whether there is an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{H}_*(LM) \cong HH^*(S^*(M), S^*(M)) \quad (3)$$

of BV algebras. Felix and Thomas [FT08] have shown that this is true when one takes coefficients in a field of characteristic zero. On the other hand, Menichi [Men09] has shown that this is not the case when $M = S^2$ and coefficients are taken in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

We will answer the question of whether there is an isomorphism (3) when M is a complex projective space and coefficients are taken in $\mathbb{Z}$. This is a matter of comparing the two BV algebras $\mathbb{H}_*(L\mathbb{CP}^n)$ and $HH^*(S^*(\mathbb{CP}^n), S^*(\mathbb{CP}^n))$. The description of the first was given in §1.1, and Yang has computed the second:

Theorem (Yang [Yan07]). *Take coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$. As a BV algebra,*

$$HH^*(S^*(\mathbb{CP}^n), S^*(\mathbb{CP}^n)) = \frac{R[x, u, t]}{\langle x^{n+1}, u^2, (n+1)x^n t, ux^n \rangle},$$

where $|x| = -2$, $|u| = -1$ and $|t| = 2n$, and $\Delta(t^k x^l) = 0$, $\Delta(t^k u x^l) = -(k+1)n - k + l)t^k x^l$.

Corollary. Take coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$. When n is even there is an isomorphism of BV algebras

$$\mathbb{H}_*(L\mathbb{CP}^n) \xrightarrow{\cong} HH^*(S^*(\mathbb{CP}^n), S^*(\mathbb{CP}^n)), \quad (4)$$

and when n is odd no such isomorphism exists.

Proof. A ring isomorphism (4) is given by sending c , w and v to x , $-u$ and t respectively. Using Theorem A and Yang's result above it is simple to verify that, when n is even, this is an isomorphism of BV algebras.

Now take n to be odd and suppose for a contradiction that an isomorphism (4) exists. It must send w to $\pm u$ and c to $\pm x$. The unit element is sent to the unit element. Since $\Delta(w) = n1 + \binom{n+1}{2}c^n \cdot v$ by Theorem A, we can apply the isomorphism to find that $\Delta(u) = \pm n1 \pm \binom{n+1}{2}x^n t$. But $\Delta(u) = -n1$ by Yang's result above, and 1 and $x^n t$ generate distinct summands in degree 0, so we must have $\binom{n+1}{2}x^n t = 0$. This is not the case, and consequently no isomorphism (4) exists. $\square$

1.4 Outline of the paper

The paper begins by establishing several new general results that we hope will be of independent interest. The results will be applied later as part of the proof of Theorem A. They are as follows:

1. *String topology and Lie group actions.* When a Lie group G acts on a manifold M , one obtains actions of both G and ΩG on LM . Thus $\mathbb{H}_*(LM)$ becomes a module over the two rings $H_*(G)$ and $H_*(\Omega G)$. We study this situation in §2 and prove a new result, Theorem 2.1, which describes how the two module structures interact with the BV algebra structure.
2. *Compactifications of vector bundles.* In §3 we introduce the *pinched compactification* of a complex vector bundle ξ . This is obtained by adding a 'point at infinity' in each fibre, and then identifying it with the origin in that fibre. We relate the pinched compactification to the more familiar projective and spherical compactifications, and explain how the homology of the pinched compactification of ξ can be understood in terms of the unit sphere bundle $\mathbb{S}\xi$.
3. *Circle actions on sphere bundles.* Given complex vector bundles ξ, η over a space X , one can form the unit sphere bundle $\mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta)$. The circle acts on this space by scalar multiplication in the first summand. We study this

situation in §4 and prove Theorem 4.2, which uses the Chern classes of ξ and η to describe the associated degree-raising operator $H_*(\mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta)) \rightarrow H_{*+1}(\mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta))$.

After establishing these general results we are able to begin the proof of Theorem A. The proof is arranged as a series of successive reformulations — Theorems B, C and D — of Theorem A. Broadly speaking, the steps are as follows:

1. *The action of $U(n+1)$ on $\mathbb{CP}^n$.* In §5 we consider the natural action of $U(n+1)$ on $\mathbb{CP}^n$. We state Theorem B, which describes how $H_*(U(n+1))$, $H_*(\Omega U(n+1))$ and Δ act on the subring of $\mathbb{H}_*(L\mathbb{CP}^n)$ generated by c and w . We then apply the results of §2 to show that Theorem A follows from Theorem B.
2. *A finite dimensional approximation of $L\mathbb{CP}^n$.* In §6 we construct a finite dimensional space $\mathbb{L}^n$ and a map $\mathbb{L}^n \rightarrow L\mathbb{CP}^n$ that induces an injection $H_*(\mathbb{L}^n) \rightarrow H_*(L\mathbb{CP}^n)$. We can thus regard $\mathbb{L}^n$ as a finite dimensional approximation to $L\mathbb{CP}^n$. Then in §7 we state Theorem C, which describes the homology of $\mathbb{L}^n$, and use it to prove Theorem B. The crucial point is that the homology of $\mathbb{L}^n$ is large enough that each of c , w and v must lie within its image.
3. *Relating $\mathbb{L}^n$ to $ST\mathbb{CP}^n$.* In §8 we show that $\mathbb{L}^n$ is the pinched compactification of the tangent bundle $T\mathbb{CP}^n$. We apply the general results of §3 to describe the homology of $\mathbb{L}^n$ in terms of $ST\mathbb{CP}^n$. Then in §9 we state Theorem D, which describes the homology of $ST\mathbb{CP}^n$, and use it to prove Theorem C.
4. *Computing $H_*(ST\mathbb{CP}^n)$.* It remains to prove Theorem D, which describes $H_*(ST\mathbb{CP}^n)$ and various module structures on it. We do this by rephrasing the problem in terms of the situation considered in §4 and then applying Theorem 4.2 several times. The connection between Δ and characteristic classes of $\mathbb{CP}^n$ arises at this point. This completes the proof of Theorem A.

It is interesting to ask whether our methods can be applied to other manifolds besides $\mathbb{CP}^n$. This is certainly the case for Lie groups, since Theorem 2.1 directly generalises the main result of [Hep09]. We also believe that the string topology BV algebra for spheres and for real and quaternionic projective spaces could be computed in the same way; for spheres the result is known [Men09], but only partial information is available for the other projective spaces.

2 String topology and Lie group actions

In this section we will see how to exploit symmetries of a manifold when trying to compute its string topology BV algebra. Applying this to the action of $U(n+1)$ on $\mathbb{CP}^n$, we will be able to reformulate Theorem A in terms of slightly more accessible information. Throughout the section homology groups are taken with coefficients in any commutative ring R .

Suppose that a closed, oriented manifold M is equipped with an orientation preserving left action of a compact, connected Lie group G . Then LM inherits

a left action of LG , so that $\mathbb{H}_*(LM)$ becomes a module over $H_*(LG)$. It is natural to ask how this module structure interacts with the BV algebra structure described in the last paragraph.

It is convenient to write LG as the semidirect product $\Omega G \rtimes G$, where the first factor is the subgroup of loops based at the identity and the second factor is the subgroup of constant loops. Then $\mathbb{H}_*(LM)$ becomes a module both $H_*(\Omega G)$ and $H_*(G)$. We will describe how the BV algebra structure on $\mathbb{H}_*(LM)$ interacts with these two module structures, and to do so we must recall two quantities:

1. *The Hopf algebra $H_*(\Omega G)$.* For any pointed space X , the homology groups $H_*(\Omega X)$ form a graded ring under the Pontrjagin product. When $X = G$, a theorem of Bott [Bot56] states that these homology groups are free and concentrated in even degrees. $H_*(\Omega G)$ then becomes a commutative, cocommutative Hopf algebra with coproduct

$$H_*(\Omega G) \xrightarrow{D_*} H_*(\Omega G \times \Omega G) \cong H_*(\Omega G) \otimes H_*(\Omega G)$$

obtained using the diagonal map and the Künneth isomorphism. We denote the coproduct of $a \in H_*(\Omega G)$ by $D_*a = \sum a_1 \otimes a_2$.

2. *The homology suspension $\sigma: H_*(\Omega G) \rightarrow H_{*+1}(G)$.* Let X be a pointed space and let $\sigma: S^1 \times \Omega X \rightarrow X$ denote the evaluation map. The homology suspension, which we also denote by σ , is the homomorphism $H_*(\Omega X) \rightarrow H_{*+1}(X)$ defined by $\sigma(a) = \sigma_*([S^1] \times a)$ for $a \in H_*(\Omega X)$.

With this notation established we can state the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $x, y \in \mathbb{H}_*(LM)$.*

1. *For $a \in H_*(\Omega G)$ we have*

$$a(x \cdot y) = (ax) \cdot y = x \cdot (ay) \tag{5}$$

and

$$\Delta(ax) = a\Delta(x) + \sum a_1\sigma(a_2)x. \tag{6}$$

2. *For $b \in H_*(G)$ we have*

$$b(x \cdot y) = \sum (-1)^{|b_2||x|} (b_1x) \cdot (b_2y) \tag{7}$$

and

$$\Delta(bx) = (-1)^{|b|} b\Delta(x), \tag{8}$$

where in the first equation we have assumed that the image of b under the diagonal $D_*: H_*(G) \rightarrow H_*(G \times G)$ can be written $\sum b_1 \times b_2$.

The crucial aspect of Theorem 2.1 is the following. If Δ were a derivation of the loop product and we knew a set of generators for the ring $\mathbb{H}_*(LM)$, then Δ could be computed from its values on the generators. In general Δ is not a derivation and only satisfies the weaker condition (1). This means that we must also compute its value on the product of each *pair* of generators of $\mathbb{H}_*(LM)$. Therefore the usefulness of formula (6)

$$\Delta((a1) \cdot x) = \Delta(ax) = \sum a_1\sigma(a_2)x + a\Delta(x),$$

is that it computes the value of Δ on certain products of elements of $\mathbb{H}_*(LM)$.

By taking $M = G$ under the natural left action, one can use Theorem 2.1 to give a complete account of the BV algebra $\mathbb{H}_*(LG)$. This is the subject of [Hep09]. Another simple corollary identifies a particularly nice subring of $\mathbb{H}_*(LM)$:

Corollary 2.2. *Let $1 \in \mathbb{H}_0(LM)$ denote the unit. Then the map*

$$\begin{aligned} H_*(\Omega G) &\rightarrow \mathbb{H}_*(LM), \\ a &\mapsto a1 \end{aligned}$$

is a ring homomorphism and Δ vanishes on its image.

Proof. Let $a, a' \in H_*(\Omega G)$. Then by applying equation (5) we have $(a1) \cdot (a'1) = a(1 \cdot (a'1)) = a(a'1) = (aa')1$ so that $a \mapsto a1$ is indeed a ring homomorphism. By equation (6), $\Delta(a1) = \sum a_1 \sigma(a_2)1$. Note that each $\sigma(a_2)1$ has positive degree. However $\sigma(a_2)1$ lies in the subring $\mathbb{H}_*(M) := H_{*+m}(M)$ of $\mathbb{H}_*(LM)$ obtained from the inclusion of constant loops $M \hookrightarrow LM$. Since $\mathbb{H}_*(M)$ is concentrated in non-positive degrees each $\sigma(a_2)1$ must vanish. Thus $\Delta(a1) = 0$. $\square$

The rest of this section is given to the proof of Theorem 2.1. In §2.1 we recall the Pontrjagin-Thom construction and several of its properties. In §2.2 we recall Cohen and Jones' definition of the loop product in terms of Pontrjagin-Thom maps and prove equations (5) and (7). Finally, in §2.3 we recall the definition of the BV operator Δ and prove equations (6) and (8).

2.1 Pontrjagin-Thom maps

Our proof of equations (5) and (7) will use the homotopy theoretic description of the loop product due to Cohen and Jones [CJ02]. Their construction makes use of Pontrjagin-Thom maps, which we now recall.

Cohen and Klein [CK09] explain that to a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & E_2 \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_2 \\ P & \xrightarrow{f} & N \end{array} \tag{9}$$

in which f is an embedding of smooth closed manifolds and $E_2 \rightarrow N$ is a fibre bundle (though E_2 need not be a manifold), there is an induced Pontrjagin-Thom map

$$\tilde{f}_! : E_2 \rightarrow E_1^{\nu_f}$$

that is well defined up to homotopy. Here $\nu_f \rightarrow P$ denotes the normal bundle of f and also its pullback to E_1 . The Pontrjagin-Thom map $\tilde{f}_!$ is obtained in the following way. Identify the total space of $\nu_f \rightarrow P$ as a tubular neighbourhood of $f(P)$ in N , and correspondingly identify the total space of $\nu_f \rightarrow E_1$ as a neighbourhood of $\tilde{f}E_1$ inside E_2 . Then by collapsing the complement of this neighbourhood to a point we obtain the required map $\tilde{f}_! : E_2 \rightarrow E_1^{\nu_f}$. If ν_f is

oriented, for example if P and N were oriented, then we obtain an umkehr map in homology, also denoted by $\tilde{f}_!$,

$$\tilde{f}_!: H_*(E_2) \rightarrow H_{*-d}(E_1)$$

as the composite $H_*(E_2) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_*(E_1^{\nu_f}) \cong H_{*-d}(E_1)$ of the map induced by $\tilde{f}_!: E_2 \rightarrow E_1^{\nu_f}$ with the Thom isomorphism. Here $d = \dim \nu_f$.

In proving (5) and (7) we will need to use several properties of the Pontrjagin-Thom construction. We list these now. First, we require the following naturality property. Suppose we are given a second cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & F_2 \\ \rho_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_2 \\ P & \xrightarrow{f} & N \end{array}$$

with ρ_2 a fibre bundle. Suppose further that we have maps $g_1: F_1 \rightarrow E_1$ and $g_2: F_2 \rightarrow E_2$ such that $\pi_1 \circ g_1 = \rho_1$, $\pi_2 \circ g_2 = \rho_2$. Under g_1 the vector bundle $\nu_f \rightarrow E_1$ is pulled back to $\nu_f \rightarrow F_1$ and so there is an induced map of Thom spaces $g_1^{\nu_f}: F_1^{\nu_f} \rightarrow E_1^{\nu_f}$. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_2 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_!} & F_1^{\nu_f} \\ g_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow g_1^{\nu_f} \\ E_2 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_!} & E_1^{\nu_f} \end{array}$$

commutes. If ν_f is oriented then we have the corresponding result

$$g_{1*} \circ \tilde{f}_! = \tilde{f}_! \circ g_{2*} \quad (10)$$

for maps of homology groups.

Second, we require a factorisation property. Suppose that diagram (9) can be factorised into two cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}=\tilde{f}'} & E_2 \\ \sigma_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma_2 \\ P' & \xrightarrow{f'} & N' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P & \xrightarrow{f} & N \end{array} \quad (11)$$

in which $N' \rightarrow N$ is a fibre bundle of manifolds and σ_2 is any other fibre bundle. We therefore obtain two Pontrjagin-Thom maps

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_!: E_2 &\rightarrow E_1^{\nu_f}, \\ \tilde{f}'_!: E_2 &\rightarrow E_1^{\nu_{f'}}, \end{aligned}$$

the first from (9) and the second from the upper square of (11). However $\nu_{f'} \rightarrow P'$ can be identified with the pullback of $\nu_f \rightarrow P$, and so $\nu_f \rightarrow E_1$ and $\nu_{f'} \rightarrow E_1$ coincide. Consequently $E_1^{\nu_f} = E_1^{\nu_{f'}}$. Also, the tubular neighbourhood of $f'(P')$ in N' can be chosen as the preimage of the tubular neighbourhood of $f(P)$ in N . It follows that $\tilde{f}_! = \tilde{f}'_!$. So long as the orientations of ν_f and $\nu_{f'}$ are chosen in a compatible way, the same formula

$$\tilde{f}'_! = \tilde{f}_! \quad (12)$$

holds for the maps of homology groups.

Third, let K be any other space and modify diagram (9) to obtain a new cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K \times E_1 & \xrightarrow{1 \times \tilde{f}} & K \times E_2 \\ \tau_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau_2 \\ P & \xrightarrow{f} & N \end{array}$$

in which $\tau_i: K \times E_i \rightarrow N$, defined by $\tau_i(k, e_i) = \pi_i(e_i)$, is a fibre bundle. Then $\nu_f \rightarrow K \times E_2$ is the pullback of $\nu_f \rightarrow E_2$ and so $(K \times E_1)^{\nu_f} = K_+ \wedge E_1^{\nu_f}$. Then the Pontrjagin-Thom map $(1 \times \tilde{f})_!: K \times E_2 \rightarrow K_+ \wedge E_1^{\nu_f}$ can be identified as the composite $K \times E_2 \rightarrow K \times E_1^{\nu_f} \rightarrow K_+ \wedge E_1^{\nu_f}$ of $1 \times \tilde{f}_!$ with the collapse map $K \times E_2^{\nu_f} \rightarrow K_+ \wedge E_1^{\nu_f}$. It follows that if ν_f is oriented, then in homology we have

$$(1 \times \tilde{f})_!(k \times e_2) = k \times \tilde{f}_! e_2 \quad (13)$$

for $k \in H_*(K)$ and $e_2 \in H_*(E_2)$.

2.2 The loop product

In this subsection we recall the definition of the loop product and prove equations (5) and (7) from Theorem 2.1. By reversing the degree shift, we may regard the loop product $\mathbb{H}_*(LM) \otimes \mathbb{H}_*(LM) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_*(LM)$ as a map $H_*(LM) \otimes H_*(LM) \rightarrow H_{*-m}(LM)$. Cohen and Jones [CJ02] identify this map in terms of the Pontrjagin-Thom construction, as follows. The evaluation map $\text{ev}: LM \rightarrow M$ that sends a loop in M to its value at the basepoint $0 \in S^1$ is a fibre bundle. We write L^2M for the space of pairs of composable loops in M . In other words $L^2M \subset LM \times LM$ is the subset consisting of pairs (δ_1, δ_2) with $\text{ev}(\delta_1) = \text{ev}(\delta_2)$. Sending a pair of composable loops to their common basepoint defines a map $\text{ev}: L^2M \rightarrow M$, and composing the loops defines a map $\gamma: L^2M \rightarrow LM$. If we write $\tilde{D}: L^2M \rightarrow LM \times LM$ for the inclusion then we have a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L^2M & \xrightarrow{\tilde{D}} & LM \times LM \\ \text{ev} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ev} \times \text{ev} \\ M & \xrightarrow{D} & M \times M \end{array}$$

in which the lower map is an embedding of manifolds with normal bundle TM . We therefore have a Pontrjagin-Thom map

$$\tilde{D}_!: H_*(LM \times LM) \rightarrow H_{*-m}(L^2M).$$

as in the last section. Cohen and Jones identify the loop product of $x, y \in \mathbb{H}_*(LM) = H_{*+m}(LM)$ as

$$x \cdot y = (-1)^{m|y|+m} \gamma_* \circ \tilde{D}_!(x \times y). \quad (14)$$

Note that here $|y|$ denotes the degree of y as an element of $\mathbb{H}_*(LM)$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1, equation (7). Write $\alpha: G \times M \rightarrow M$ for the action of G on M . Consider the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & G \times L^2M & \xrightarrow{\quad} & G \times LM \times LM & \\
 & \swarrow L^2\alpha & \downarrow & \swarrow L\alpha^2 & \downarrow \\
 L^2M & \xrightarrow{\quad} & LM \times LM & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & G \times M & \xrightarrow{\quad} & G \times M \times M & \\
 & \swarrow \alpha & \downarrow & \swarrow \alpha^2 & \\
 M & \xrightarrow{\quad} & M \times M & &
 \end{array}$$

Here the horizontal maps are given by $D: M \rightarrow M \times M$, $\tilde{D}: L^2M \rightarrow LM \times LM$, and their products with $1: G \rightarrow G$. The vertical maps are given by $\text{ev}: L^2M \rightarrow M$, $\text{ev} \times \text{ev}: LM \times LM \rightarrow M \times M$, and products of these with $1: G \rightarrow G$. If we set $L\alpha(g, \delta)(t) = g\delta(t)$ then the various diagonal maps are defined by $\alpha^2(g, m_1, m_2) = (gm_1, gm_2)$, $L^2\alpha(g, (\delta_1, \delta_2)) = (L\alpha(g, \delta_1), L\alpha(g, \delta_2))$, $L\alpha^2(g, (\delta_1, \delta_2)) = (L\alpha(g, \delta_1), L\alpha(g, \delta_2))$ for $g \in G$, $m_1, m_2 \in M$, $\delta, \delta_1, \delta_2 \in LM$ and $t \in S^1$. In particular we have

$$bx = L\alpha_*(b \times x).$$

for any $b \in H_*(G)$ and $x \in \mathbb{H}_*(LM)$.

To begin we claim that

$$\sum (-1)^{|b_2||x|} (b_1x) \cdot (b_2y) = (-1)^{m|y|+m} \gamma_* \circ \tilde{D}_! \circ L\alpha_*^2(b \times x \times y).$$

To see this, apply the definition of the loop product to the left hand side above and use the fact that $\sum (-1)^{|b_2|(|x|+m)} (b_1x) \times (b_2y) = L\alpha_*^2(b \times x \times y)$ (recall that $|x|$ refers to the degree of x as an element of $\mathbb{H}_*(LM)$). By naturality of umkehr maps (10) in the above diagram we have

$$\tilde{D}_! \circ L\alpha_*^2(b \times x \times y) = L^2\alpha_* \circ (1 \times \tilde{D})_!(b \times x \times y).$$

By the factorisation formula (12) it follows that $(1 \times \tilde{D})_!$ can be computed using just the rear square of the above diagram, so that

$$(1 \times \tilde{D})_!(b \times x \times y) = b \times \tilde{D}_!(x \times y).$$

It is easily seen that $\gamma \circ L^2\alpha = L\alpha \circ (1 \times \gamma)$, so that

$$\gamma_* \circ L^2\alpha_* = L\alpha_* \circ (1 \times \gamma_*).$$

By combining the four equations obtained in this paragraph we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum (-1)^{|b_2||x|} (b_1 x) \cdot (b_2 y) &= (-1)^{m|y|+m} L\alpha_*(b \times \gamma_* \circ \tilde{D}_!(x \times y)) \\ &= b(x \cdot y) \end{aligned}$$

as required. $\square$

Definition 2.3. Let $\mu: \Omega G \times LM \rightarrow LM$ be defined by

$$\mu(\varepsilon, \delta)(t) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon(2t)\delta(0), & 0 \leq t \leq 1/2 \\ \delta(2t-1), & 1/2 \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

for $\varepsilon \in \Omega G$, $\delta \in LM$, $t \in S^1$. This definition makes sense since $\varepsilon(0) = \varepsilon(1)$ is the identity of G .

Lemma 2.4. *The action of $H_*(\Omega G)$ on $\mathbb{H}_*(LM)$ can be described using μ . In other words, for $a \in H_*(\Omega G)$ and $x \in \mathbb{H}_*(LM)$ we have $ax = \mu_*(a \times x)$.*

Proof. Consider the homotopy $h: [0, 1] \times \Omega G \times LM \rightarrow LM$ defined by $h(s, \varepsilon, \delta)(t) = E(\varepsilon, s, t)D(\varepsilon, s, t)$ for $s \in [0, 1]$, $\varepsilon \in \Omega G$, $\delta \in LM$, $t \in S^1$. Here

$$E(\varepsilon, s, t) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon(t/(1-s/2)), & 0 \leq t \leq 1-s/2 \\ \varepsilon(1), & 1-s/2 \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$D(\delta, s, t) = \begin{cases} \delta(0), & 0 \leq t \leq s/2 \\ \delta((t-s/2)/(1-s/2)), & s/2 \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then $h(0, \varepsilon, \delta)(t) = \varepsilon(t)\delta(t)$ and $h(1, \varepsilon, \delta)(t) = \mu(\varepsilon, \delta)(t)$, and the result follows. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.1, equation (5). Let $\mu^2: \Omega G \times L^2 M \rightarrow L^2 M$ be defined by $\mu^2(\varepsilon, (\delta_1, \delta_2)) = (\mu(\varepsilon, \delta_1), \delta_2)$. Consider the diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Omega G \times L^2 M & \xrightarrow{1 \times \tilde{D}} & \Omega G \times LM \times LM & & \\ \mu^2 \swarrow & \downarrow \tilde{D} & \swarrow \mu \times 1 & & \downarrow \\ L^2 M & \xrightarrow{\quad} & LM \times LM & & \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{\quad} & M & \xrightarrow{\quad} & M \times M \\ \downarrow & \parallel & \downarrow & \parallel & \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{\quad} & M \times M & & \end{array}$$

Here the horizontal maps are given by $D: M \rightarrow M \times M$, $\tilde{D}: L^2 M \rightarrow LM \times LM$, and the product of $\tilde{D}$ with $1: \Omega G \rightarrow \Omega G$. The vertical maps are given by $\text{ev}: L^2 M \rightarrow M$, $\text{ev} \times \text{ev}: LM \times LM \rightarrow M \times M$, and their compositions with the projections $\Omega G \times L^2 M \rightarrow L^2 M$, $\Omega G \times LM \times : M \rightarrow LM \times LM$. This diagram is commutative, the vertical maps are fibre bundles, and the front and back faces are cartesian.

By Lemma 2.4 we have $(ax) \times y = (\mu \times 1)_*(a \times x \times y)$, and so by definition

$$(ax) \cdot y = \gamma_* \circ \tilde{D}_! \circ (\mu \times 1)_*(a \times x \times y).$$

By the naturality property of umkehr maps (10) we have

$$\tilde{D}_!(\mu \times 1)_*(a \times x \times y) = \mu_*^2 \circ (1 \times \tilde{D})_!(a \times x \times y)$$

and by the product formula (13) we have

$$(1 \times \tilde{D})_!(a \times x \times y) = a \times \tilde{D}_!(x \times y).$$

It is easy to verify that $\gamma \circ \mu^2$ is homotopic to $\mu \circ (1 \times \gamma)$, and so

$$\gamma_* \circ \mu_*^2 = \mu_* \circ (1 \times \gamma)_*.$$

Combining the last four equations we find that

$$(ax) \cdot y = \mu_*(a \times \gamma_* \circ \tilde{D}_!(x \times y)) = a(x \cdot y)$$

as required, and the formula $x \cdot (ay) = a(x \cdot y)$ follows similarly. $\square$

2.3 The BV operator

In this subsection we recall the definition of the BV operator Δ and prove equations (6) and (8) from Theorem 2.1. Let $\rho: S^1 \times LM \rightarrow LM$ be the rotation action defined by $\rho(s, \delta)(t) = \delta(s + t)$ for $\delta \in LM$ and $s, t \in S^1$. Then Δ is defined by $\Delta(x) = \rho_*([S^1] \times x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{H}_*(LM)$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1, equations (6) and (8). Let us denote the action of G on M by $\alpha: G \times M \rightarrow M$, and write $L\alpha: G \times LM \rightarrow LM$ for action described by $L\alpha(g, \delta)(t) = g\delta(t)$ for $g \in G$, $\delta \in LM$ and $t \in S^1$. Thus $bx = L\alpha_*(b \times x)$ for $b \in H_*G$ and $x \in \mathbb{H}_*(LM)$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^1 \times G \times LM & \xrightarrow{t} & G \times S^1 \times LM & \xrightarrow{1 \times \rho} & G \times LM \\ 1 \times L\alpha \downarrow & & & & \downarrow L\alpha \\ S^1 \times LM & \xrightarrow{\rho} & & & LM \end{array}$$

in which t transposes factors. It immediately follows that $\Delta(bx) = (-1)^{|b|} b \Delta x$, which proves (8).

Let us write $\Omega\alpha: \Omega G \times LM \rightarrow LM$ for the action of ΩG on LM defined by $\Omega\alpha(\varepsilon, \delta)(t) = \varepsilon(t)\delta(t)$ for $\varepsilon \in \Omega G$, $\delta \in LM$ and $t \in S^1$. Then for $a \in H_*(\Omega G)$ and $x \in \mathbb{H}_*(LM)$ we have $ax = \Omega\alpha_*(a \times x)$. Let $\tau: S^1 \times \Omega G \rightarrow \Omega G$ be defined by $\tau(s, \varepsilon)(t) = \varepsilon(s + t)\varepsilon(s)^{-1}$ for $\varepsilon \in \Omega G$ and $s, t \in S^1$. Recall that $\sigma: S^1 \times \Omega G \rightarrow G$ is defined by $\sigma(t)(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon(t)$ for $\varepsilon \in \Omega G$ and $t \in S^1$. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^1 \times \Omega G \times LM & \xrightarrow{1 \times \Omega\alpha} & S^1 \times LM & \xrightarrow{\rho} & LM \\ D \times D \times 1 \downarrow & & & & \uparrow \Omega\alpha \circ (1 \times L\alpha) \\ (S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1) \times (\Omega G \times \Omega G) \times LM & \xrightarrow{s} & (S^1 \times \Omega G) \times (S^1 \times \Omega G) \times (S^1 \times LM) & \xrightarrow{\tau \times \sigma \times \rho} & \Omega G \times G \times LM \end{array}$$

in which s shuffles the factors.

Note that $\rho_*(1 \times x) = x$, that $\tau_*(1 \times a_1) = a_1$, and that $\sigma_*(1 \times a_2) = 0$ unless $|a_2| = 0$. Also $D_*[S^1] = ([S^1] \times 1 \times 1) + (1 \times [S^1] \times 1) + (1 \times 1 \times [S^1])$. Then the commutativity of the diagram above shows that

$$\Delta(ax) = \tau_*([S^1] \times a)x + (-1)^{|a_1|} \sum a_1 \sigma(a_2)x + (-1)^{|a|} a \Delta x.$$

However, since $H_*(\Omega G)$ is concentrated in even degrees, we have that $(-1)^{|a_1|} = (-1)^{|a|} = 1$ and $\tau_*([S^1] \times a) = 0$. Equation (6) follows. $\square$

3 Compactifications of vector bundles

In this section we continue to establish general results that will be used later in the proof of Theorem A. In §3.1 we will describe three different fibrewise compactifications of a complex vector bundle $\xi \rightarrow X$, the third being the ‘pinched compactification’ that we are most interested in. Then in §3.2 we will show how to compute the homology of the pinched compactification of ξ in terms of the unit sphere bundle $\mathbb{S}\xi$. We will see in later sections that the pinched compactification of $T\mathbb{CP}^n$ serves as a useful approximation to the free loop space $L\mathbb{CP}^n$. Throughout the section homology groups are taken with coefficients in any commutative ring R .

3.1 Three compactifications of complex vector bundles

Let $\xi \rightarrow X$ be a complex vector bundle over a space X . We will consider three different fibrewise compactifications of the total space ξ and the relationship between them.

Definition 3.1. Let d denote the complex rank of ξ .

1. The *projective compactification* $\xi^{\mathbb{P}}$ of ξ is the projectivisation $\mathbb{P}(\xi \oplus \mathbb{C})$ of the vector bundle $\xi \oplus \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$. It is a bundle of projective spaces $\mathbb{CP}^d$ over X .
2. The *spherical compactification* $\xi^{\mathbb{S}}$ of ξ is the spherical part $\mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \mathbb{R})$ of the real vector bundle $\xi \oplus \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$. It is a bundle of spheres of dimension $2d$ over X .
3. The *pinched compactification* $\xi^{\mathbb{P}}$ of ξ is the space obtained from $\xi^{\mathbb{S}}$ by identifying, for each $x \in X$, the points $(0, \pm 1)$ in the fibre over x . It is a fibre bundle over X whose typical fibre is a sphere of dimension $2d$ with its north and south poles identified.

We have referred to $\xi^{\mathbb{P}}$, $\xi^{\mathbb{S}}$ and $\xi^{\mathbb{P}}$ as *compactifications* of ξ because each admits a natural inclusion of ξ as a dense open subset. In each case the inclusion commutes with the projections to X and each fibre of ξ is a dense open subset of the fibre of the compactification over x . The inclusions are defined as follows:

1. The inclusion $\xi \hookrightarrow \xi^{\mathbb{P}}$ sends a vector $v \in \xi_x$ to the line spanned by $(v, 1)$ in $\xi_x \oplus \mathbb{C} = (\xi \oplus \mathbb{C})_x$.

2. The inclusion $\xi \hookrightarrow \xi^S$ sends a vector $v \in \xi_x$ to the unit vector

$$\left(\frac{2v}{\|v\|^2 + 1}, \frac{\|v\|^2 - 1}{\|v\|^2 + 1} \right)$$

in $\xi_x \oplus \mathbb{R}$.

3. The inclusion $\xi \hookrightarrow \xi^P$ is obtained by composing the inclusion $\xi \hookrightarrow \xi^S$ with the identification map $\xi^S \rightarrow \xi^P$.

The projective compactification ξ^P is obtained by adding to each fibre ξ_x a copy of $\mathbb{P}(\xi_x)$ ‘at infinity’. Indeed, there is a natural inclusion $\mathbb{P}\xi \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\xi \oplus \mathbb{C}) = \xi^P$. The spherical compactification is obtained by adding a single ‘point at infinity’ in each fibre. It therefore admits two distinct sections: the usual zero section, as well as what one might call the ‘section at infinity’. The pinched compactification is obtained from the spherical compactification by identifying these two sections pointwise. One does not have to add any points to ξ in order to form the pinched compactification; rather, one specifies that in each fibre a sequence of vectors tending to infinity has its limit at the origin.

Proposition 3.2. *There are maps*

$$\xi^P \xrightarrow{p} \xi^S \xrightarrow{q} \xi^P$$

that commute with the projections to X and with the inclusions from ξ . The map p induces a homeomorphism between ξ^S and the space obtained from ξ^P by identifying, for each $x \in X$, the subset $\mathbb{P}\xi_x \subset \mathbb{P}\xi \subset \xi^P$ to a point. The map q is the identification map obtained from the definition of ξ^P . It identifies, for each $x \in X$, the points $(0, \pm 1)$ in the fibre over x .

Proof. The assertions about q are immediate from the definition of ξ^P . To construct p we will begin with a description of ξ^P . A point of ξ^P is a line in $\xi \oplus \mathbb{C}$, and so can be projected to both ξ and to $\mathbb{C}$. The image of this projection is either itself a line, or is zero, and it is not possible for both projections to vanish. Thus ξ^P is the union of two open subsets. The first is the set of lines whose projection to $\mathbb{C}$ is nonzero. The second is the set of lines whose projection to ξ is nonzero.

The first subset of ξ^P described above is simply the image of the open embedding $\xi \hookrightarrow \xi^P$. To describe the second we must consider the tautological line bundle τ_ξ over $\mathbb{P}\xi$. The fibre of τ_ξ at the point represented by a line l in some ξ_x is simply the line l itself. There is an open embedding $\tau_\xi \hookrightarrow \xi^P$ that sends a vector v in a line l in ξ_x to the span of $(v, \|v\|^2)$ if $v \neq 0$ and to $l \oplus 0$ if $v = 0$. The second subset described above is simply the image of this embedding. The intersection of the two open subsets corresponds under this embedding to the complement of the zero section in τ_ξ .

The map $p: \xi^P \rightarrow \xi^S$, if it exists, is determined by the requirement that it should commute with the inclusions from ξ . It is easy to compute that its restriction to τ_ξ would then be a map $\tau_\xi \rightarrow \xi^S$ that on the complement of the zero-section sends an element $v \in l \subset \xi_x$ to

$$\left(\frac{2v}{1 + \|v\|^2}, \frac{1 - \|v\|^2}{1 + \|v\|^2} \right)$$

in $\xi_x \oplus \mathbb{C}$. But this clearly extends to a continuous map $\tau_\xi \rightarrow \xi^{\mathbb{S}}$ that induces a homeomorphism between its image and the space obtained from τ_ξ by identifying, for each $x \in X$, the subset $\mathbb{P}\xi_x$ of the zero section to a single point. But, conversely, this is sufficient to guarantee the existence of p , to verify that it commutes with the inclusions from ξ and the projections to X , and to guarantee that it induces the required homeomorphism. $\square$

3.2 The homology of $\xi^{\mathbb{P}}$

In this subsection we wish to discuss the homology groups of the compactification $\xi^{\mathbb{P}}$ of ξ . There are well-known techniques for describing the homology of $\xi^{\mathbb{P}}$ and $\xi^{\mathbb{S}}$. We are also interested in investigating the effect in homology of the collapse map $q \circ p: \xi^{\mathbb{P}} \rightarrow \xi^{\mathbb{P}}$. The results will be phrased in terms of the spherical bundle $\mathbb{S}\xi \rightarrow X$, which consists of all unit vectors in ξ . We begin by introducing some notation and then stating the main results. The rest of the subsection is given to proving these results, as well as a short lemma which we state and prove at the end.

Definition 3.3. $\xi^{\mathbb{P}}$ admits a tautological line bundle τ_ξ . A point of $\xi^{\mathbb{P}}$ is a line l in a fibre $\xi_x \oplus \mathbb{C}$, and the fibre of τ_ξ over this point is simply l itself. We write $u_\xi \in H^2(\xi^{\mathbb{P}})$ for the first Chern class of τ_ξ .

Note 3.4. Suppose that X is an oriented manifold. Then ξ and $\xi^{\mathbb{P}}$, as bundles of complex manifolds over X , inherit natural orientations from X . The unit sphere bundle $\mathbb{S}\xi$ also inherits a natural orientation as the boundary of the unit ball bundle $\mathbb{B}\xi$, which like ξ and $\xi^{\mathbb{P}}$ inherits an orientation from X . Note in particular that $\partial_*[\mathbb{B}\xi, \mathbb{S}\xi] = [\mathbb{S}\xi]$.

Proposition 3.5. *There is a split short exact sequence of abelian groups*

$$0 \rightarrow H_*(X) \rightarrow H_*(\xi^{\mathbb{P}}) \rightarrow H_{*-1}(\mathbb{S}\xi) \rightarrow 0. \quad (15)$$

Moreover, since each of X , $\xi^{\mathbb{P}}$ and $\mathbb{S}\xi$ has a projection map to X , each of the groups in (15) is naturally a $H^(X)$ module, and (15) is in fact a split short exact sequence of $H^*(X)$ modules.*

Proposition 3.6. *For any $x \in H_*(\xi^{\mathbb{P}})$ the class $u_\xi \cap x$ vanishes under the composition*

$$H_*(\xi^{\mathbb{P}}) \rightarrow H_*(\xi^{\mathbb{P}}) \rightarrow H_{*-1}(\mathbb{S}\xi).$$

Moreover, when X is a closed oriented manifold, so that $\xi^{\mathbb{P}}$ and $\mathbb{S}\xi$ become closed oriented manifolds, the composition above sends $[\xi^{\mathbb{P}}]$ to $[\mathbb{S}\xi]$.

Proposition 3.5 gives a complete description of $H_*(\xi^{\mathbb{P}})$. There is also a classic description of $H^*(\xi^{\mathbb{P}})$ in terms of the Chern classes of $\xi \oplus \mathbb{C}$ that, when X is a closed oriented manifold, can be Poincaré dualised to give a complete description of $H_*(\xi^{\mathbb{P}})$. Proposition 3.6 can then be used to give an exact description of $(q \circ p)_*: H_*(\xi^{\mathbb{P}}) \rightarrow H_*(\xi^{\mathbb{P}})$. Although we have not formulated such a corollary here, we will still need the full strength of Propositions 3.5 and 3.6 in later sections.

Proof of Proposition 3.5. The projection $\xi^P \rightarrow X$ is a left-inverse to the zero section $X \hookrightarrow \xi^P$. The long exact sequence in homology for (ξ^P, X) therefore splits into a collection of split short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow H_*(X) \rightarrow H_*(\xi^P) \rightarrow H_*(\xi^P, X) \rightarrow 0. \quad (16)$$

We must identify $H_*(\xi^P, X)$.

Let I denote $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. There is an inclusion $I \times \mathbb{S}\xi \hookrightarrow \xi \hookrightarrow \xi^P$ that sends (r, v) to rv . Let $A \subset \xi \subset \xi^P$ denote the subset consisting of vectors with length in $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. We then have homology equivalences of pairs of subsets of ξ^P

$$(\xi^P, X) \hookrightarrow (\xi^P, \xi^P \setminus A) \hookleftarrow (I, \partial I) \times \mathbb{S}\xi. \quad (17)$$

There is also an isomorphism $\Theta: H_*((I, \partial I) \times \mathbb{S}\xi) \rightarrow H_{*-1}(\mathbb{S}\xi)$ given by composing ∂_* with the projection $H_{*-1}(\partial I \times \mathbb{S}\xi) \rightarrow H_{*-1}(\mathbb{S}\xi)$ onto the summand corresponding to $1 \in \partial I$. We therefore have an isomorphism $H_*(\xi^P, X) \cong H_{*-1}(\mathbb{S}\xi)$ obtained from the zig-zag

$$H_*(\xi^P, X) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_*(\xi^P, \xi^P \setminus A) \xleftarrow{\cong} H_*((I, \partial I) \times \mathbb{S}\xi) \xrightarrow{\Theta} H_{*-1}(\mathbb{S}\xi). \quad (18)$$

Applying this isomorphism to (16) provides us with the required short exact sequence (15). It remains to show that this is split as a short exact sequence of $H^*(X)$ modules.

The sequence (16) is certainly split as a sequence of $H^*(X)$ modules, since it is precisely the projection $\xi^P \rightarrow X$ that provides the splitting. We must therefore show that the isomorphism $H_*(\xi^P, X) \cong H_{*-1}(\mathbb{S}\xi)$ is an isomorphism of $H^*(X)$ modules. Each of the pairs in (17), as a pair of subsets of ξ^P , admits a projection to the pair (X, X) , and the inclusion maps commute with these projections. Consequently the first two isomorphisms in (18) are isomorphisms of $H^*(X)$ modules. We must now show that Θ is an isomorphism of $H^*(X)$ modules. If we orient I so that $\partial_*[I, \partial I] = [1] - [\frac{1}{2}]$ then Θ^{-1} is the map that sends that sends $x \in H_{*-1}(\mathbb{S}\xi)$ to $[I, \partial I] \times x$. Now note that for $(I, \partial I) \times \mathbb{S}\xi$ the projection to X factors through the projection $(I, \partial I) \times \mathbb{S}\xi \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}\xi, \mathbb{S}\xi)$, so that for $x \in H_*((I, \partial I) \times \mathbb{S}\xi)$ and $\alpha \in H^*(X)$ we have $\alpha x = (1 \times \pi^* \alpha) \cap x$, where $\pi: \mathbb{S}\xi \rightarrow X$ denotes the projection. In particular, for $y \in H_*(\mathbb{S}\xi)$ we have

$$\alpha([I, \partial I] \times y) = (1 \times \pi^* \alpha) \cap ([I, \partial I] \times y) = [I, \partial I] \times (\pi^* \alpha \cap y).$$

(For the sign convention relating cross and cap products see [Spa81, p.255].) It follows that Θ^{-1} is an isomorphism of $H^*(X)$ modules, and so the same is true of Θ . This completes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 3.6. Taking the definition of the second map from the proof Proposition 3.5, it follows that the composite is given by the zig-zag

$$H_*(\xi^P) \rightarrow H_*(\xi^P) \rightarrow H_*(\xi^P, X) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_*(\xi^P, \xi^P \setminus A) \xleftarrow{\cong} H_*((I, \partial I) \times \mathbb{S}\xi) \xrightarrow{\Theta} H_{*-1}(\mathbb{S}\xi)$$

where $A \subset \xi \subset \xi^P$ is the subset consisting of vectors whose length lies in $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. We can equally well regard A as a subset of ξ^P , and so there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (\xi^P, X \sqcup \mathbb{P}\xi) & \hookrightarrow & (\xi^P, \xi^P \setminus A) & \hookleftarrow & (I, \partial I) \times \mathbb{S}\xi \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ (\xi^P, X) & \hookrightarrow & (\xi^P, \xi^P \setminus A) & \hookleftarrow & (I, \partial I) \times \mathbb{S}\xi \end{array}$$

whose horizontal maps are homology equivalences. The zig-zag above is therefore equal to

$$H_*(\xi^{\mathbb{P}}) \rightarrow H_*(\xi^{\mathbb{P}}, X \sqcup \mathbb{P}\xi) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_*(\xi^{\mathbb{P}}, \xi^{\mathbb{P}} \setminus A) \xleftarrow{\cong} H_*((I, \partial I) \times \mathbb{S}\xi) \xrightarrow{\Theta} H_{*-1}(\mathbb{S}\xi) \quad (19)$$

With this new description of the composite we can prove the proposition.

In the light of the last paragraph, to prove the first claim it will suffice to show that

$$H_*(\xi^{\mathbb{P}}) \xrightarrow{u_{\xi} \cap -} H_{*-2}(\xi^{\mathbb{P}}) \rightarrow H_{*-2}(\xi^{\mathbb{P}}, X \sqcup \mathbb{P}\xi)$$

vanishes. Since the restriction of τ_{ξ} to $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C})$ is trivial, the class u_{ξ} lifts to a class $u \in H^2(\xi^{\mathbb{P}}, X)$. It follows that the first map above factors through $u \cap - : H_*(\xi^{\mathbb{P}}, X) \rightarrow H_{*-2}(\xi^{\mathbb{P}})$ so it will suffice to show that

$$H_*(\xi^{\mathbb{P}}, X) \xrightarrow{u \cap -} H_{*-2}(\xi^{\mathbb{P}}) \rightarrow H_{*-2}(\xi^{\mathbb{P}}, X \sqcup \mathbb{P}\xi)$$

vanishes. This will follow immediately from the fact that $u \cap -$ factors through $H_{*-2}(\mathbb{P}\xi) \rightarrow H_{*-2}(\xi^{\mathbb{P}})$, which we will now prove.

There is a homology equivalence $\phi : (\mathbb{B}(\tau_{\xi}), \mathbb{S}(\tau_{\xi})) \rightarrow (\xi^{\mathbb{P}}, X)$. A point in $\mathbb{B}(\tau_{\xi})$ is a triple (v, l, x) where v is a vector in a line l in a fibre ξ_x . If $v \neq 0$ then ϕ sends this point to the line in $(\xi \oplus \mathbb{C})_x$ spanned by $((\frac{1}{\|v\|} - 1)v, \|v\|)$. If $v = 0$ then ϕ sends the point to $l \oplus 0$. The composition of ϕ with the zero section $\mathbb{P}\xi \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}(\tau_{\xi})$ is just the inclusion $\mathbb{P}\xi \hookrightarrow \xi^{\mathbb{P}}$. We now have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_*(\mathbb{B}(\tau_{\xi}), \mathbb{S}(\tau_{\xi})) & \xrightarrow{\phi^* u \cap -} & H_*(\mathbb{B}(\tau_{\xi})) & \xleftarrow{\cong} & H_*(\mathbb{P}\xi) \\ \phi_* \downarrow \cong & & \phi|_* \downarrow & & \parallel \\ H_*(\xi^{\mathbb{P}}, X) & \xrightarrow{u \cap -} & H_*(\xi^{\mathbb{P}}) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & H_*(\mathbb{P}\xi) \end{array}$$

so that $u \cap -$ factors through $H_*(\mathbb{P}\xi) \rightarrow H_*(\xi^{\mathbb{P}})$ as required.

To prove the second part we must show that the zig-zag (19) sends $[\xi^{\mathbb{P}}]$ to $[\mathbb{S}\xi]$. It is easy to see that the first three maps taken together send $[\xi^{\mathbb{P}}]$ to $[I \times \mathbb{S}\xi, \partial I \times \mathbb{S}\xi]$, where $I \times \mathbb{S}\xi$ is oriented as a subset of $\xi^{\mathbb{P}}$. Since Θ is the composition of ∂_* with the projection $H_{*-1}(\partial I \times \mathbb{S}\xi) \rightarrow H_{*-1}(\mathbb{S}\xi)$ onto the summand corresponding to $1 \in \partial I$, it will suffice to show that $\partial_*[I \times \mathbb{S}\xi, \partial I \times \mathbb{S}\xi] = [1] \times [\mathbb{S}\xi] - [\frac{1}{2}] \times [\mathbb{S}\xi]$.

Recall that $I \times \mathbb{S}\xi$ is oriented as a submanifold of $\xi^{\mathbb{P}}$. The inclusion $I \times \mathbb{S}\xi \hookrightarrow \xi^{\mathbb{P}}$ factors as $I \times \mathbb{S}\xi \rightarrow \mathbb{B}\xi \rightarrow \xi^{\mathbb{P}}$. The first map here sends $(r, v) \in I \times \mathbb{S}\xi$ to rv , and the second map is obtained from the inclusion $\xi \subset \xi^{\mathbb{P}}$. In particular, the second map is an embedding of almost complex manifolds of the same dimension, so that the orientations coincide. It follows that the orientation that $I \times \mathbb{S}\xi$ inherits from $\xi^{\mathbb{P}}$ is the same as the one it inherits from $\mathbb{B}\xi$. But $\mathbb{S}\xi$ is oriented as the boundary of $\mathbb{B}\xi$, so that $\partial_*[\mathbb{B}\xi, \mathbb{S}\xi] = [\mathbb{S}\xi]$, and correspondingly $\partial_*[I \times \mathbb{S}\xi, \partial I \times \mathbb{S}\xi] = [1] \times [\mathbb{S}\xi] - [\frac{1}{2}] \times [\mathbb{S}\xi]$. $\square$

Lemma 3.7. *We refer to the situation of Proposition 3.5. Suppose that a group G acts on $\xi^{\mathbb{P}}$ and that this action restricts to an action on ξ that preserves the length of vectors. Then G acts on each of X , $\xi^{\mathbb{P}}$ and $\mathbb{S}\xi$. Thus each of the groups in (15) is a $H_*(G)$ module, and (15) is a short exact sequence of $H_*(G)$ modules, so long as we twist the module structure on $H_{*-1}(\mathbb{S}\xi)$ so that $\alpha \in H_*(G)$ acts*

on $H_{*-1}(\mathbb{S}\xi)$ by $(-1)^{|\alpha|}$ times the morphism defined by the action of G on $\mathbb{S}\xi$. If the action of G is such that the projection $\xi^P \rightarrow X$ is equivariant, then (15) is split as $H_*(G)$ modules.

Proof. The proof is directly analogous to the proof of the final part of Proposition 3.5. Each of the pairs in (17) inherits a G -action, so that $H_*(\xi^P, X) \cong H_*((I, \partial I) \times \mathbb{S}\xi)$ as $H_*(G)$ modules. That Θ is an isomorphism of $H_*(G)$ modules now follows immediately from the fact that, for $\alpha \in H_*(G)$ and $x \in H_{*-1}((I, \partial I) \times \mathbb{S}\xi)$, we have $\partial_*(\alpha \times x) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \alpha \times \partial_* x$. The splitting is provided by the projection $\xi^P \rightarrow X$, so that if this is G -equivariant, then the splitting is a splitting of $H_*(G)$ modules. $\square$

4 Circle actions on sphere bundles

This section presents the last of the general results that we will be using later to prove Theorem A. We will consider certain circle actions on unit sphere bundles, and show how to compute the corresponding degree-raising operators on homology groups. By applying this to $\mathbb{S}T\mathbb{C}P^n$ and to several associated bundles we will gain useful information about the string topology BV algebra of $\mathbb{C}P^n$. This is because, as we shall see, $H_*(\mathbb{S}T\mathbb{C}P^n)$ is in fact a summand of $\mathbb{H}_*(L\mathbb{C}P^n)$. Throughout the section we consider homology with coefficients in any commutative ring R .

Let us consider complex vector bundles over a space X . Given such a vector bundle $\xi \rightarrow X$ we will write $\pi: \mathbb{S}\xi \rightarrow X$ for the associated unit sphere bundle. Recall that the homology of $\mathbb{S}\xi$ can be computed using the *Gysin sequence*:

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_*(\mathbb{S}\xi) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} H_*(X) \xrightarrow{E_\xi \cap -} H_{*-2d}(X) \rightarrow H_{*-1}(\mathbb{S}\xi) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Here d denotes the rank of ξ and E_ξ denotes the Euler class.

Definition 4.1. Let ξ and η be complex vector bundles over a base-space X and let S^1 act on $\mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta)$ in the following way: $t \in S^1$ sends a pair $(v, w) \in \mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta)$ to (tv, w) . Here we are regarding S^1 as the unit complex numbers acting by scalar multiplication. The associated *degree raising operator* is the homomorphism

$$R: H_*(\mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta)) \rightarrow H_{*+1}(\mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta))$$

that sends $x \in H_*(\mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta))$ to $\rho_*([S^1] \times x)$, where $\rho: S^1 \times \mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta)$ denotes the action.

Theorem 4.2. *In the situation of Definition 4.1, the degree-raising operator is equal to the composite*

$$H_*(\mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta)) \rightarrow H_*(X) \xrightarrow{c_{d-1}(\xi)c_e(\eta) \cap -} H_{*-2d-2e+2}(X) \rightarrow H_{*+1}(\mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta)) \quad (20)$$

in which $d = \text{rank}(\xi)$, $e = \text{rank}(\eta)$, and the unlabelled maps are taken from the *Gysin sequence*.

The proof of Theorem 4.2 will be given in §4.1. Then in §4.2 we establish some basic properties of the Gysin sequence that will be used later.

4.1 Proof of Theorem 4.2

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Let us begin by recalling how to construct the Gysin sequence. First form the pair $(\mathbb{B}\xi, \mathbb{S}\xi)$, where $\mathbb{B}\xi$ denotes the unit ball bundle of ξ , and take the associated long exact sequence of homology groups. Then apply the isomorphisms

$$H_*(\mathbb{B}\xi) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} H_*(X), \quad H_*(\mathbb{B}\xi, \mathbb{S}\xi) \xrightarrow{\text{Th}} H_{*-d}(X)$$

to transform this sequence into the Gysin sequence.

The crucial point in the proof of the theorem is that we will use the definition of $c_{d-1}(\xi)$ given in [MS74], which we briefly recall. The pullback bundle $\pi^*\xi$ admits a tautological inclusion $a: \mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \pi^*\xi$ that in the fibre over $v \in \mathbb{S}\xi$ sends $z \in \mathbb{C}$ to $zv \in \xi_{\pi(v)} = (\pi^*\xi)_v$. If we write $\xi^\perp$ for the orthogonal complement of this summand, then we have a splitting $\mathbb{C} \oplus \xi^\perp = \pi^*\xi$. The cohomology Gysin sequence shows that $\pi^*: H^{2d-2}(X) \rightarrow H^{2d-2}(\mathbb{S}\xi)$ is an isomorphism, and $c_{d-1}(\xi)$ is defined to be the class whose image is $c_{d-1}(\xi^\perp)$. Note that $c_{d-1}(\xi^\perp)$ is simply the Euler class of $\xi^\perp$.

To prove the theorem we must show that the degree raising operator R coincides with (20). The proof will successively rephrase this problem until we can apply the fact described in the last paragraph.

The action map $\rho: S^1 \times \mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta)$ extends to a map of pairs, which we also denote by ρ ,

$$\rho: (\mathbb{B}^2, S^1) \times \mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta) \rightarrow (\mathbb{B}(\xi \oplus \eta), \mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta))$$

which sends $(z, (v, w)) \in \mathbb{B}^2 \times \mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta)$ to $(zv, w) \in \mathbb{B}(\xi \oplus \eta)$. Here we are regarding $\mathbb{B}^2$ as the unit disc in the complex numbers. Using this extended ρ we can define an operator

$$R_1: H_*(\mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta)) \rightarrow H_{*+2}(\mathbb{B}(\xi \oplus \eta), \mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta))$$

that sends $x \in H_*(\mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta))$ to $\rho_*([\mathbb{B}^2, S^1] \times x)$. This new R_1 is related to R by the formula $\partial_* \circ R_1 = R$. The final map in (20) is $\partial_* \circ \text{Th}^{-1}$. These two facts mean that to prove the theorem we must show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_*(\mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta)) & \xrightarrow{R_1} & H_{*+2}(\mathbb{B}(\xi \oplus \eta), \mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta)) & & (21) \\ \pi_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Th} & & \\ H_*(X) & \xrightarrow{c_e(\eta) \cap -} & H_{*-2e}(X) & \xrightarrow{c_{d-1}(\xi) \cap -} & H_{*-2d-2e+2}(X) \end{array}$$

commutes.

By choosing an appropriate norm on $\xi \oplus \eta$ we can make identifications $\mathbb{B}(\xi \oplus \eta) = \mathbb{B}\xi \times_X \mathbb{B}\eta$ and $\mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta) = (\mathbb{B}\xi \times_X \mathbb{S}\eta) \cup (\mathbb{S}\xi \times_X \mathbb{B}\eta)$. There is an inclusion map

$$i: \mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta), \mathbb{B}\xi \times_X \mathbb{S}\eta),$$

and a projection map

$$p: (\mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta), \mathbb{B}\xi \times_X \mathbb{S}\eta) \rightarrow (\mathbb{B}\eta, \mathbb{S}\eta)$$

The map R_1 appearing in (21) factors through i_* . To see this, note that the extended ρ can in fact be regarded as a map of pairs

$$\rho: (\mathbb{B}^2, S^1) \times (\mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta), \mathbb{B}\xi \times_X \mathbb{S}\eta) \rightarrow (\mathbb{B}(\xi \oplus \eta), \mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta))$$

defining an operator

$$R_2: H_*(\mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta), \mathbb{B}\xi \times_X \mathbb{S}\eta) \rightarrow H_{*+2}(\mathbb{B}(\xi \oplus \eta), \mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta))$$

that is related to R_1 by the equation $R_2 \circ i_* = R_1$. The map $c_e(\eta) \cap \pi_*(-)$ appearing in (21) also factors through i_* . To see this, note that it can be written as

$$H_*(\mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta)) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} H_*(X) \xrightarrow{z_*} H_*(\mathbb{B}\eta) \rightarrow H_*(\mathbb{B}\eta, \mathbb{S}\eta) \xrightarrow{\text{Th}} H_{*-2e}(X)$$

where $z: X \rightarrow \mathbb{B}\eta$ is the zero section. But $z \circ \pi$ is homotopic to the projection $p: \mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}\eta$, and the composite $\mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}\eta \rightarrow (\mathbb{B}\eta, \mathbb{S}\eta)$ is equal to $p \circ i$. Thus $c_e(\eta) \cap \pi_*(-)$ is equal to

$$H_*(\mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta)) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_*(\mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta), \mathbb{B}\xi \times_X \mathbb{S}\eta) \xrightarrow{p_*} H_*(\mathbb{B}\eta, \mathbb{S}\eta) \xrightarrow{\text{Th}} H_{*-2e}(X)$$

as claimed.

The last paragraph described how the two initial maps in (21) factor through i_* . To show that (21) commutes it will therefore suffice to show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_*(\mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta), \mathbb{B}\xi \times_X \mathbb{S}\eta) & \xrightarrow{R_2} & H_{*+2}(\mathbb{B}(\xi \oplus \eta), \mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta)) & & \\ p_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Th} & & \\ H_*(\mathbb{B}\eta, \mathbb{S}\eta) & \xrightarrow{\text{Th}} & H_{*-2e}(X) & \xrightarrow{c_{d-1}(\xi) \cap -} & H_{*-2d-2e+2}(X) \end{array}$$

commutes. Moreover, since there is an excision-map

$$\begin{aligned} j: (\mathbb{B}(\pi^*\eta), \mathbb{S}(\pi^*\eta)) &= (\mathbb{S}\xi \times_X \mathbb{B}\eta, \mathbb{S}\xi \times_X \mathbb{S}\eta) \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow ((\mathbb{B}\xi \times_X \mathbb{S}\eta) \cup (\mathbb{S}\xi \times_X \mathbb{B}\eta), \mathbb{B}\xi \times_X \mathbb{S}\eta) = (\mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta), \mathbb{B}\xi \times_X \mathbb{S}\eta) \end{aligned}$$

it will suffice to show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_*(\mathbb{B}(\pi^*\eta), \mathbb{S}(\pi^*\eta)) & \xrightarrow{R_2 \circ j_*} & H_{*+2}(\mathbb{B}(\xi \oplus \eta), \mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta)) & & (22) \\ p_* \circ j_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Th} & & \\ H_*(\mathbb{B}\eta, \mathbb{S}\eta) & \xrightarrow{\text{Th}} & H_{*-2e}(X) & \xrightarrow{c_{d-1}(\xi) \cap -} & H_{*-2d-2e+2}(X) \end{array}$$

commutes.

The definition of R_2 , which appears in (22), involves the pair $(\mathbb{B}^2, S^1) \times (\mathbb{B}(\pi^*\eta), \mathbb{S}(\pi^*\eta))$. We can identify this pair with $(\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{C} \oplus \pi^*\eta), \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C} \oplus \pi^*\eta))$. We then have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_*(\mathbb{B}(\pi^*\eta), \mathbb{S}(\pi^*\eta)) & \xrightarrow{R_2 \circ j_*} & H_{*+2}(\mathbb{B}(\xi \oplus \eta), \mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta)) \\ \downarrow [\mathbb{B}^2, S^1] \times - & \nearrow A_* & \\ H_{*+2}(\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{C} \oplus \pi^*\eta), \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C} \oplus \pi^*\eta)) & & \end{array}$$

where $A = \rho \circ (\text{Id} \times j)$. The composite $p \circ j$ which appears in (22) is just the projection $(\mathbb{B}(\pi^*\eta), \mathbb{S}(\pi^*\eta)) \rightarrow (\mathbb{B}\eta, \mathbb{S}\eta)$. Thus $\text{Th} \circ p_* \circ j_*$ is equal to

$$H_*(\mathbb{B}(\pi^*\eta), \mathbb{S}(\pi^*\eta)) \xrightarrow{\text{Th}} H_{*-2e}(\mathbb{S}\eta) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} H_{*-2e}(X)$$

and we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_*(\mathbb{B}(\pi^*\eta), \mathbb{S}(\pi^*\eta)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Th}} & H_{*-2e}(\mathbb{S}\xi) \\ \downarrow [\mathbb{B}^2, S^1] \times - & \nearrow \text{Th} & \\ H_{*+2}(\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{C} \oplus \pi^*\eta), \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C} \oplus \pi^*\eta)) & & \end{array}$$

so that $\text{Th} \circ q_* \circ j_* = \pi_* \circ \text{Th} \circ ([\mathbb{B}^2, S^1] \times -)$. Thus both composites in (22) factor through $([\mathbb{B}^2, S^1] \times -)$. To show that the diagram commutes it thus suffices to show that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{*+2}(\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{C} \oplus \pi^*\eta), \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C} \oplus \pi^*\eta)) & \xrightarrow{A_*} & H_{*+2}(\mathbb{B}(\xi \oplus \eta), \mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta)) \\ \downarrow \text{Th} & & \downarrow \text{Th} \\ H_{*-2e}(\mathbb{S}\xi) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} H_{*-2e}(X) \xrightarrow{c_{d-1}(\xi) \cap -} & H_{*-2d-2e+2}(X) \end{array} \quad (23)$$

commutes.

The map $A: (\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{C} \oplus \pi^*\eta), \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C} \oplus \pi^*\eta)) \rightarrow (\mathbb{B}(\xi \oplus \eta), \mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta))$ is just $\rho \circ (\text{Id} \times j)$, but it is easy to see that it arises from the composite map of vector bundles

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{C} \oplus \pi^*\eta & \xrightarrow{a \oplus 1} & \pi^*\xi \oplus \pi^*\eta & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \xi \oplus \eta \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{S}\xi & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbb{S}\xi & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \end{array}$$

where a is the inclusion described at the start of the proof. To show that (23) commutes it therefore suffices to show that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{*+2}(\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{C} \oplus \pi^*\eta), \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C} \oplus \pi^*\eta)) & \xrightarrow{(a \oplus 1)^*} & H_{*+2}(\mathbb{B}(\pi^*\xi \oplus \pi^*\eta), \mathbb{S}(\pi^*\xi \oplus \pi^*\eta)) \\ \downarrow \text{Th} & & \downarrow \text{Th} \\ H_{*-2e}(\mathbb{S}\xi) & \xrightarrow{\pi^*(c_{d-1}(\xi)) \cap -} & H_{*-2d-2e+2}(\mathbb{S}\xi) \end{array}$$

commutes. This is a standard result, so long as one replaces $\pi^*(c_{d-1}(\xi))$ in the above with the Euler class E_μ , where μ is the complement to the sub-bundle $(a \oplus 1)(\mathbb{C} \oplus \pi^*\eta)$ of $\pi^*\xi \oplus \pi^*\eta$. But this complement is just the bundle $\xi^\perp$ described at the start of the proof. Its Euler class, by the definition of $c_{d-1}(\xi)$ explained there, is equal to $\pi^*(c_{d-1}(\xi))$. This proves the theorem. $\square$

4.2 Computations with the Gysin sequence

In this subsection we will prove three simple lemmas to help with computations using the Gysin sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_*(\mathbb{S}\xi) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} H_*(X) \xrightarrow{E_\xi \cap -} H_{*-2d}(X) \rightarrow H_{*-1}(\mathbb{S}\xi) \rightarrow \cdots \quad (24)$$

associated to a rank d complex vector bundle ξ over X . The lemmas are simple consequences of the construction of the Gysin sequence. We include the proofs only for the sake of completeness.

Let us begin by recalling how to construct the Gysin sequence (24). First form the pair $(\mathbb{B}\xi, \mathbb{S}\xi)$, where $\mathbb{B}\xi$ denotes the unit ball bundle of ξ , and take the associated long exact sequence of homology groups. Then apply the isomorphisms

$$H_*(\mathbb{B}\xi) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} H_*(X), \quad H_*(\mathbb{B}\xi, \mathbb{S}\xi) \xrightarrow{\text{Th}} H_{*-d}(X) \quad (25)$$

to transform this sequence into (24).

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\xi \rightarrow X$ be a complex vector bundle of rank d over X and let $u \in H^*(X)$. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \longrightarrow & H_*(\mathbb{S}\xi) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & H_*(X) & \xrightarrow{E_\xi \cap -} & H_{*-2d}(X) & \longrightarrow & H_{*-1}(\mathbb{S}\xi) & \longrightarrow \\ & \downarrow \pi^* u \cap - & & \downarrow u \cap - & & \downarrow u \cap - & & \downarrow \pi^* u \cap - & \\ \longrightarrow & H_{*-|u|}(\mathbb{S}\xi) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & H_{*-|u|}(X) & \xrightarrow{E_\xi \cap -} & H_{*-2d-|u|}(X) & \longrightarrow & H_{*-1-|u|}(\mathbb{S}\xi) & \longrightarrow \end{array}$$

commutes up to sign. To be precise, the first two squares commute strictly and the third commutes up to sign $(-1)^{|u|}$.

Proof. This is a simple consequence of the construction of the Gysin sequence, which we recalled earlier, and the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} u \cap (E_\xi \cap x) &= E_\xi \cap (u \cap x), \\ \partial_*(\pi^* u \cap x) &= (-1)^{|u|} \pi^* u \cap \partial_* x, \\ \pi^* u \cap (U_\xi \cap x) &= U_\xi \cap (\pi^* u \cap x). \end{aligned}$$

Here U_ξ denotes the Thom class of ξ . □

Lemma 4.4. *Let ξ and η be complex vector bundles over a space X . Then the Gysin sequences for ξ and $\xi \oplus \eta$ are related by the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \longrightarrow & H_*(\mathbb{S}\xi) & \longrightarrow & H_*(X) & \longrightarrow & H_{*-2d}(X) & \longrightarrow & H_{*-1}(\mathbb{S}\xi) & \longrightarrow \\ & \downarrow i_* & & \parallel & & \downarrow E_\eta \cap - & & \downarrow i_* & \\ \longrightarrow & H_*(\mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta)) & \longrightarrow & H_*(X) & \longrightarrow & H_{*-2d-2e}(X) & \longrightarrow & H_{*-1}(\mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta)) & \longrightarrow \end{array}$$

Here $i: \mathbb{S}\xi \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta)$ is the inclusion map, and $d = \text{rank } \xi$ and $e = \text{rank } \eta$. A similar diagram relates the Gysin sequences for η and $\xi \oplus \eta$, in which the vertical map $H_{-q}(X) \rightarrow H_{*-p-q}(X)$ is just $E_\xi \cap -$.*

Proof. There is an inclusion of pairs $k: (\mathbb{B}\xi, \mathbb{S}\xi) \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{B}(\xi \oplus \eta), \mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta))$ and a corresponding commutative diagram of homology groups whose rows are the exact sequences of homology groups of the pairs $(\mathbb{B}\xi, \mathbb{S}\xi)$ and $(\mathbb{B}(\xi \oplus \eta), \mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta))$. After applying the isomorphisms (25) in both rows, we obtain the required commutative diagram, so long as we can verify that the vertical maps are of the form claimed. This is clear except for the third vertical map. However,

by our assumptions we have that $k^*U_{\xi \oplus \eta} = U_\xi \cup \pi^*E_\eta$, so that $k^*U_{\xi \oplus \eta} \cap x = \pi^*E_\eta \cap (U_\xi \cap x)$. Using this fact we can verify that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_*(\mathbb{B}\xi, \mathbb{S}\xi) & \xrightarrow{U_\xi \cap -} & H_{*-p}(\mathbb{B}\xi) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & H_{*-p}(X) \\ \downarrow k_* & & \downarrow k_*(\pi^*E_\eta \cap -) & & \downarrow E_\eta \cap - \\ H_*(\mathbb{B}(\xi \oplus \eta), \mathbb{S}(\xi \oplus \eta)) & \xrightarrow{U_{\xi \oplus \eta} \cap -} & H_{*-p-q}(\mathbb{B}(\xi \oplus \eta)) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & H_{*-p-q}(X) \end{array}$$

commutes. The description of the third vertical map follows. The proof of the second case is similar. $\square$

Lemma 4.5. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a map of spaces and let ξ be a complex vector bundle over X of rank d . Then the Gysin sequences for ξ and $f^*\xi$ are related by the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \longrightarrow & H_*(\mathbb{S}(f^*\xi)) & \longrightarrow & H_*(Y) & \longrightarrow & H_{*-2d}(Y) & \longrightarrow & H_{*-1}(\mathbb{S}(f^*\xi)) & \longrightarrow \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow f_* & & \downarrow f_* & & \downarrow & \\ \longrightarrow & H_*(\mathbb{S}\xi) & \longrightarrow & H_*(X) & \longrightarrow & H_{*-2d}(X) & \longrightarrow & H_{*-1}(\mathbb{S}\xi) & \longrightarrow \end{array}$$

Proof. The construction of the Gysin sequence was recalled at the beginning of this subsection. There is a map of pairs $f: (\mathbb{B}f^*\xi, \mathbb{S}f^*\xi) \rightarrow (\mathbb{B}\xi, \mathbb{S}\xi)$, which lies over $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and satisfies $f^*U_\xi = U_{f^*\xi}$. The resulting commutative diagram, whose rows are the long exact sequences for $(\mathbb{B}f^*\xi, \mathbb{S}f^*\xi)$ and $(\mathbb{B}\xi, \mathbb{S}\xi)$, is then converted into the required diagram after applying the isomorphisms (25). $\square$

5 Statement of Theorem B and proof of Theorem A

In this section we will use the results of §2 to reformulate Theorem A. Since $\mathbb{CP}^n$ is the space of lines in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ it admits a natural action of $U(n+1)$. Thus $\mathbb{H}_*(L\mathbb{CP}^n)$ is a module over both $H_*(U(n+1))$ and $H_*(\Omega U(n+1))$, and Theorem 2.1 explains how these module structures relate to the loop product and BV operator. We begin by recalling the homology of $U(n+1)$ and of its loop space. Throughout this section, and for the rest of the paper, homology groups are taken with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 5.1. Let $R: S^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^n \rightarrow U(n+1)$ be the map that sends a pair (t, l) to the transformation that multiplies vectors in l by t and that leaves vectors orthogonal to l unchanged. (We are regarding S^1 as the unit complex numbers.) Thus

$$R(t, l)\mathbf{v} = (t - 1)\langle \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \mathbf{l} + \mathbf{v} \quad (26)$$

for $t \in S^1$, $l \in \mathbb{CP}^n$ and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, where $\mathbf{l}$ is a unit vector in l . Let $S: \mathbb{CP}^n \rightarrow \Omega U(n+1)$ denote the adjoint to R .

Definition 5.2. Define $E_0, E_2, \dots, E_{2n}$ in $H_*(\Omega U(n+1))$ by $E_{2i} = S_*[\mathbb{CP}^i]$ and define $e_1, e_3, \dots, e_{2n+1}$ by $e_{2i+1} = R_*([S^1] \times [\mathbb{CP}^i])$. It is well known that

$$H_*(\Omega U(n+1)) = \mathbb{Z}[E_0, \dots, E_{2n}], \quad H_*(U(n+1)) = \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}[e_1, \dots, e_{2n+1}],$$

and that $e_{2i+1} = \sigma(E_{2i})$ and $D_*E_{2i} = \sum_{j=0}^i E_{2j} \otimes E_{2i-2j}$.

We can now reformulate Theorem A in terms of the actions of $H_*(U(n+1))$ and $H_*(\Omega U(n+1))$ on $\mathbb{H}_*(LCP^n)$. This reformulation is necessarily rather detailed, but the crucial point is that the generator $v \in \mathbb{H}_{2n}(LCP^n)$ can be chosen to be $E_{2n}1$. As an algebra over $\mathbb{Z}[v]$ the BV algebra $\mathbb{H}_*(LCP^n)$ is generated by c and w , which themselves generate a finite dimensional subring. Therefore, by using Theorem 2.1, the task of computing Δ is reduced to the problem of computing the value of Δ and the effect of $H_*(U(n+1))$ and $H_*(\Omega U(n+1))$ on this finite dimensional subring. In detail:

Theorem B. *The generators c, w, v of $\mathbb{H}_*(LCP^n)$ can be chosen so that:*

1. $E_{2i}1$ is equal to $c^{n-i} \cdot v$ if $i > 0$ and is equal to $1 + c^n \cdot v$ if $i = 0$.
2. $e_{2j+1}c = 0$ for all j , while $e_{2j+1}w = (j+1)c^{n-j} \cdot v$.
3. $\Delta(c^i) = 0$ and there are integers $\mu_{n-1}, \dots, \mu_0 = 1$ such that

$$\Delta(c^i \cdot w) = \binom{n+1}{2} c^{n+i} \cdot v + \mu_i c^i$$

for $i \geq 0$. (Since $c^{n+1} \cdot v = 0$, the first term is redundant unless $i = 0$.)

This theorem will be proved in §7. The rest of this section is given to showing that Theorem A follows from it. This follows by direct calculation from two simple lemmas: the first exploits the Batalin-Vilkovisky identity (1) to determine the μ_i , and the second uses Theorem 2.1 to compute the effect of Δ on multiples of v .

Lemma 5.3. $\mu_i = n - i$, so that $\Delta(c^i \cdot w) = \binom{n+1}{2} c^{n+i} \cdot v + (n-i)c^i$ for $i \geq 0$.

Proof. Introduce a new integer μ_n determined by the identity $\Delta(c^n \cdot w) = \mu_n c^n$. Of course, since $c^n \cdot w = 0$ we must have $\mu_n = 0$. Now take the Batalin-Vilkovisky identity (1) in the case $x = c^{i-1}$, $y = c$, $z = w$, with $1 \leq i \leq n$ to find that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(c^i \cdot w) &= \Delta(c^i) \cdot w + c^{i-1} \cdot \Delta(c \cdot w) + c \cdot \Delta(c^{i-1} \cdot w) \\ &\quad - \Delta(c^{i-1}) \cdot c \cdot w - \Delta(c) \cdot c^{i-1} \cdot w - c^i \cdot \Delta(w). \end{aligned}$$

Using the description of Δ given in the third part of Theorem B this equation becomes $\mu_i = \mu_{i-1} + \mu_1 - \mu_0$ so that $\mu_i = i(\mu_1 - \mu_0) + \mu_0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Taking $i = (n-1)$, $i = n$ and using the fact that $\mu_{n-1} = 1$ from the third part of Theorem B, and that fact that $\mu_n = 0$ as remarked above, we can solve to find that $\mu_0 = n$ and $\mu_1 = (n-1)$. Consequently $\mu_i = n - i$ as required. $\square$

Lemma 5.4. *Let us write $\partial_w: \mathbb{H}_*(LCP^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{*+1}(LCP^n)$ for the linear map that sends monomials $c^p \cdot v^q$ to 0 and that sends monomials $c^p \cdot w \cdot v^q$ to $c^p \cdot v^q$. Then for any $x \in \mathbb{H}_{*+1}(LCP^n)$ we have*

$$\Delta(v \cdot x) = \left[(n+1)v + \binom{n+1}{2} c^n \cdot v^2 \right] \cdot \partial_w x + v \cdot \Delta(x).$$

Proof. By the second part of Theorem B we know the effect of e_{2j+1} on c and w , and by the first part of Theorem B we have that $e_{2j+1}v = e_{2j+1}E_{2n}1 =$

$E_{2n}(e_{2j+1}1) = 0$. By equation (7) from Theorem 2.1 we therefore have that $e_{2i+1}x = (i+1)c^{n-i} \cdot v \cdot \partial_w x$.

Recall from the first part of Theorem B that $E_{2i}1 = c^{n-i} \cdot v$ for $i > 0$ and that $E_01 = 1 + c^n \cdot v$. Recall also that $D_*E_{2n} = \sum_{i=0}^n E_{2n-2i} \times E_{2i}$ and that $\sigma(E_{2i}) = e_{2i+1}$. Thus, by equation (6) of Theorem 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(v \cdot x) &= \Delta(E_{2n}x) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n E_{2n-2i}e_{2i+1}x + E_{2n}\Delta(x) \\ &= (1 + c^n \cdot v) \cdot e_{2n+1}x + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c^i \cdot v \cdot e_{2i+1}x + v \cdot \Delta(x) \end{aligned}$$

Now using our description of $e_{2i+1}x$ and the fact that $(n+1)c^n \cdot v = 0$, this simplifies to give

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(v \cdot x) &= (n+1)v \cdot \partial_w x + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (i+1)c^n \cdot v^2 \cdot \partial_w x + v \cdot \Delta(x) \\ &= \left[(n+1)v + \binom{n+1}{2} c^n \cdot v^2 \right] \cdot \partial_w x + v \cdot \Delta(x) \end{aligned}$$

as required. $\square$

Proof of Theorem A. We begin by showing that $\Delta(c^p \cdot v^q) = 0$ for all $p, q \geq 0$. Note that $\partial_w(c^p \cdot v^q) = 0$ so that by Lemma 5.4 we have $\Delta(c^p \cdot v^{q+1}) = v\Delta(c^p \cdot v^q)$. Using this result and the third part of Theorem B, we have $\Delta(c^p \cdot v^q) = 0$ by induction.

Now we compute $\Delta(c^p \cdot w \cdot v^q)$. Note that $\partial_w(c^p \cdot w \cdot v^{q-1}) = c^p \cdot v^{q-1}$, so that by Lemma 5.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(c^p \cdot w \cdot v^q) &= \left[(n+1)v + \binom{n+1}{2} c^n \cdot v^2 \right] \cdot c^p \cdot v^{q-1} + v \cdot \Delta(c^p \cdot w \cdot v^{q-1}) \\ &= \left[(n+1)c^p \cdot v^q + \binom{n+1}{2} c^{n+p} \cdot v^{q+1} \right] + v \cdot \Delta(c^p \cdot w \cdot v^{q-1}). \end{aligned}$$

By induction we therefore have

$$\Delta(c^p \cdot w \cdot v^q) = q \left[(n+1)c^p \cdot v^q + \binom{n+1}{2} c^{n+p} \cdot v^{q+1} \right] + v^q \cdot \Delta(c^p \cdot w)$$

and applying the description of $\Delta(c^p \cdot w)$ given by Lemma 5.3 this becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(c^p \cdot w \cdot v^q) &= q \left[(n+1)c^p \cdot v^q + \binom{n+1}{2} c^{n+p} \cdot v^{q+1} \right] \\ &\quad + v^q \cdot \left[\binom{n+1}{2} c^{n+p} \cdot v + (n-p)c^p \right] \\ &= [q(n+1) + (n-p)] c^p \cdot v^q + (q+1) \binom{n+1}{2} c^{n+p} v^{q+1} \end{aligned}$$

as required. This completes the proof. $\square$

6 A finite dimensional approximation to $L\mathbb{CP}^n$

We have seen in §5 that Theorem A follows from Theorem B. Our goal now is to prove Theorem B. This is a finite dimensional result, in the sense that it describes the effect of $H_*(U(n+1))$, $H_*(\Omega U(n+1))$ and Δ on the finite dimensional subring of $\mathbb{H}_*(L\mathbb{CP}^n)$ generated by c and w . Our strategy is to rephrase Theorem B as a result about a finite dimensional space that we can understand in explicit terms.

We begin this strategy in the present section. In §6.1 we construct a finite dimensional space $\mathbb{L}^n$ and a map $\mathbb{L}^n \rightarrow L\mathbb{CP}^n$. Then in §6.2 we see that this map induces an *injection* $H_*(\mathbb{L}^n) \rightarrow H_*(L\mathbb{CP}^n)$, so that we may regard $\mathbb{L}^n$ as approximating $L\mathbb{CP}^n$ in low dimensions. In §7 we will see that Theorem B can be reformulated in terms of $\mathbb{L}^n$. Again, all homology groups are taken with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$.

6.1 The space $\mathbb{L}_n$

The free loop space $L\mathbb{CP}^n$ has the following structure:

1. The projection map $L\mathbb{CP}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n$ that sends a loop to its basepoint.
2. The action of $U(n+1)$ induced from the natural action of $U(n+1)$ on $\mathbb{CP}^n$.
3. The circle action given by rotating loops.

The two actions commute, and the projection map is equivariant with respect to the $U(n+1)$ actions. Our aim in this subsection is to produce a finite dimensional space $\mathbb{L}^n$ equipped with analogous structure *and* a map into $L\mathbb{CP}^n$ that preserves the structure. We begin by giving an intermediate construction, based on the generating map $S: \mathbb{CP}^n \rightarrow \Omega U(n+1)$ described in Definition 5.1.

Definition 6.1. We equip $\mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n$ with the following structure:

1. The projection $\mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n$ onto the second factor.
2. The $U(n+1)$ action where $U(n+1)$ acts simultaneously on each factor in the natural way.
3. The circle action defined by $t(l, m) = (l, R(t, l)m)$ for $t \in S^1$ and $(l, m) \in \mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n$. Here $R: S^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^n \rightarrow U(n+1)$ is the rotation map of Definition 5.1.

Definition 6.2. We define

$$f: \mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n \rightarrow L\mathbb{CP}^n$$

to be the map that sends $(l, m) \in \mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n$ to the loop $t \mapsto R(t, l)m$. The significance of f is that it can be regarded as the composite

$$\mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n \xrightarrow{S \times c} \Omega U(n+1) \times L\mathbb{CP}^n \rightarrow L\mathbb{CP}^n$$

where $S: \mathbb{CP}^n \rightarrow \Omega U(n+1)$ is the generating map of Definition 5.1 and $c: \mathbb{CP}^n \rightarrow L\mathbb{CP}^n$ is the inclusion of the constant loops. Since $S_*[\mathbb{CP}^i]$ is E_{2i} and $c_*[\mathbb{CP}^n]$ is the unit of $\mathbb{H}_*(L\mathbb{CP}^n)$, $f_*([\mathbb{CP}^i] \times [\mathbb{CP}^n])$ is in fact the element $E_{2i}1$ that we wish to identify in the first part of Theorem B.

Lemma 6.3. *The S^1 and $U(n+1)$ actions on $\mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n$ commute. The map $f: \mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n \rightarrow L\mathbb{CP}^n$ intertwines the S^1 and $U(n+1)$ actions and commutes with the projections to $\mathbb{CP}^n$.*

Proof. That the actions commute and that f is equivariant follow from the fact that for $l \in \mathbb{CP}^n$ we have $AR(t, l)A^{-1} = R(t, Al)$, $R(t_1, l)R(t_2, l) = R(t_1 + t_2, l)$ for $A \in U(n+1)$ and $t_1, t_2 \in S^1$. The final property follows from the fact that $R(0, l)$ is the identity element. $\square$

Definition 6.4. Given $l \in \mathbb{CP}^n$, let $\mathbb{CP}_{l^\perp}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$ be the subset of lines perpendicular to l . We define $\mathbb{L}^n$ to be the space obtained from $\mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n$ by collapsing, for each $l \in \mathbb{CP}^n$, the subset $\mathbb{CP}_{l^\perp}^{n-1} \times \{l\} \sqcup \{l\} \times \mathbb{CP}_{l^\perp}^{n-1}$ to a point p_l . Note that the various points p_l are not identified with one another.

Proposition 6.5. 1. *The projection $\mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n$ reduces to a projection $\mathbb{L}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n$ and the actions of $U(n+1)$ and S^1 on $\mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n$ reduce to actions of $U(n+1)$ and S^1 on $\mathbb{L}^n$.*

2. *The map $f: \mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n \rightarrow L\mathbb{CP}^n$ reduces to a map $g: \mathbb{L}^n \rightarrow L\mathbb{CP}^n$ that intertwines the $U(n+1)$ and S^1 actions and that commutes with the projections to $\mathbb{CP}^n$.*

Proof. Note that:

1. The projection $\mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n$ sends each subset $\mathbb{CP}_{l^\perp}^{n-1} \times \{l\} \sqcup \{l\} \times \mathbb{CP}_{l^\perp}^{n-1}$ to the single point l , and so reduces to a projection map $\mathbb{L}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n$.
2. An element $A \in U(n+1)$ sends $\mathbb{CP}_{l^\perp}^{n-1} \times \{l\} \sqcup \{l\} \times \mathbb{CP}_{l^\perp}^{n-1}$ into $\mathbb{CP}_{Al^\perp}^{n-1} \times \{Al\} \sqcup \{Al\} \times \mathbb{CP}_{Al^\perp}^{n-1}$, so that the $U(n+1)$ action on $\mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n$ reduces to a $U(n+1)$ action on $\mathbb{L}^n$.
3. The circle action on $\mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n$ fixes each subset $\mathbb{CP}_{l^\perp}^{n-1} \times \{l\} \sqcup \{l\} \times \mathbb{CP}_{l^\perp}^{n-1}$ pointwise, and so reduces to a circle action on $\mathbb{L}^n$.

The first two statements are completely clear. The final statement relies on the fact that the operation $R(t, m)$, which multiplies vectors in m by the complex number t and preserves vectors orthogonal to m , sends a line l into itself when $l = m$ and when l and m are perpendicular. The same fact guarantees that $f: \mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n \rightarrow L\mathbb{CP}^n$, which sends (m, l) to the loop $t \mapsto R(t, m)l$, sends the subset $\{l\} \times \{l\} \sqcup \mathbb{CP}_{l^\perp}^{n-1} \times \{l\}$ to the constant loop based at l . It follows that f reduces to the required map g . The three required properties of g follow from the same properties of f . $\square$

6.2 The map $g_*: \mathbb{L}^n \rightarrow L\mathbb{CP}^n$

We have now obtained a space $\mathbb{L}^n$, equipped with three structures analogous to the three structures on $L\mathbb{CP}^n$ described at the start of §6.1, and that is equipped with a map to $L\mathbb{CP}^n$ that intertwines these structures. This is our desired approximation of $L\mathbb{CP}^n$. Our use of the word ‘approximation’ is justified by the following proposition.

Proposition 6.6. *The map $g_*: H_*(\mathbb{L}^n) \rightarrow H_*(L\mathbb{CP}^n)$ is split injective.*

Proof. Write $l_0 \in \mathbb{CP}^n$ for the span of the first standard basis vector. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V_n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{L}^n & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathbb{CP}^n \\ \gamma \downarrow & & g \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \Omega_{l_0} \mathbb{CP}^n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & L\mathbb{CP}^n & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathbb{CP}^n. \end{array} \quad (27)$$

Here V_n is the space obtained from $\mathbb{CP}^n$ by identifying the subset $\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}_{l_0^\perp} \times \{l_0\} \sqcup \{l_0\} \times \{l_0\}$ to a single point. The maps π are the projections of fibre bundles. For the bottom row of the diagram this is well known. For the top row this is reasonably clear, but will in any case be proved in Proposition 8.2.

We note that $H_*(V_n)$ vanishes in degrees greater than $2n$. Further, we claim that $\gamma_*: H_*(V_n) \rightarrow H_*(\Omega_{l_0} \mathbb{CP}^n)$ is an injection that is isomorphic in degrees up to and including $2n$. This is proved in Lemma 6.7 below. The proposition now follows from the routine spectral sequence argument that we present below.

Let (E^r, d_E^r) denote the Serre spectral sequence in homology for the top row of (27) and let (F^r, d_F^r) denote the Serre spectral sequence for the bottom row of (27). Thus $E_{*,*}^2 = H_*(\mathbb{CP}^n) \otimes H_*(V_n)$ and $F_{*,*}^2 = H_*(\mathbb{CP}^n) \otimes H_*(\Omega_{l_0} \mathbb{CP}^n)$. There is an induced map $g_*: E^r \rightarrow F^r$ of spectral sequences in which $g_*: E^2 \rightarrow F^2$ is given by $\text{Id} \otimes \gamma_*$. Thus E^2 is concentrated in bidegrees (i, j) with $j \leq 2n$ and $g_*: E^2 \rightarrow F^2$ is an isomorphism in these bidegrees. The same property then holds for E^r and $g_*: E^r \rightarrow F^r$ for all $r \geq 2$, and consequently for E^∞ and $g_*: E^\infty \rightarrow F^\infty$.

Now fix a degree $k \geq 0$. Let $A_0 \leq A_1 \leq \dots \leq A_n$ denote the filtration of $H_k(\mathbb{L}^n)$ induced by the CW filtration of $\mathbb{CP}^n$. Let $B_0 \leq B_1 \leq \dots \leq B_n$ denote the filtration of $H_k(L\mathbb{CP}^n)$ induced by the CW filtration of $\mathbb{CP}^n$. The map $g_*: H_*(\mathbb{L}^n) \rightarrow H_*(L\mathbb{CP}^n)$ respects these filtrations and induces maps $A_i/A_{i-1} \rightarrow B_i/B_{i-1}$ that under the identifications $A_i/A_{i-1} \cong E_{i,k-i}^\infty$, $B_i/B_{i-1} \cong F_{i,k-i}^\infty$ correspond to $g_*: E^\infty \rightarrow F^\infty$.

We have established that g_* induces isomorphisms $A_i/A_{i-1} \rightarrow B_i/B_{i-1}$ for $i \geq k - 2n$ so that it therefore induces an isomorphism $A_{2n}/A_{k-2n-1} \rightarrow B_{2n}/B_{k-2n-1}$. We have also established that $A_0 = \dots = A_{k-2n-1} = 0$ so that $A_{2n}/A_{k-2n-1} = H_k(\mathbb{L}^n)$ and therefore this last isomorphism has the form $H_k(\mathbb{L}^n) \cong H_k(L\mathbb{CP}^n)/B_{k-2n-1}$. This gives us the required splitting $H_k(L\mathbb{CP}^n) \rightarrow (H_k(L\mathbb{CP}^n)/B_{k-2n-1}) \cong H_k(\mathbb{L}^n)$ of g_* . This completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 6.7. $\gamma_*: H_*(V_n) \rightarrow H_*(\Omega_{l_0} \mathbb{CP}^n)$ is an isomorphism in degrees $* \leq 2n$.

Proof. Recall that V_n consists of $\mathbb{CP}^n$ with the subset $\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}_{l_0^\perp} \times \{l_0\} \sqcup \{l_0\} \times \{l_0\}$ identified to a single point and recall from Proposition 6.5 that γ is the map that makes the triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{CP}^n & \xrightarrow{S} & \Omega U(n+1) & \xrightarrow{\Omega\alpha} & \Omega_{l_0} \mathbb{CP}^n \\ & \searrow q & & \nearrow \gamma & \\ & & V_n & & \end{array}$$

commute. Here S is the map of Definition 5.1, $\alpha: U(n+1) \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n$ is $A \mapsto Al_0$, and q is the identification map.

The homology groups of V_n are zero except in degrees 0, 1 and $2n$. In degree $2n$ a generator is given by $q_*[\mathbb{CP}^n]$. In degree 1 we form the infinite closed interval $[0, \infty]$, which maps into $\mathbb{CP}^n$ by $r \mapsto [1, r, 0, \dots, 0]$. The initial point of this map is l_0 and the terminal point is $l_1 \in \mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$. Applying q we obtain a path $\delta: [0, \infty] \rightarrow V_n$ that identifies 0 and ∞ . The corresponding cycle in V_n represents a generator of $H_1(V_n)$ that we denote by $[\delta]$.

The homology ring $H_*(\Omega_{l_0}\mathbb{CP}^n)$ is $\mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_{2n}]/\langle a_1^2 \rangle$, where a_1 and a_{2n} lie in degrees 1 and $2n$ respectively. Here $a_1 \in H_1(\Omega_{l_0}\mathbb{CP}^n)$ is the unique class whose homology suspension $\sigma(a_1) \in H_2(\mathbb{CP}^n)$ is the fundamental class $[\mathbb{CP}^1]$, and it is not hard to see that $a_{2n} \in H_{2n}(\Omega_{l_0}\mathbb{CP}^n)$ can be taken to be the image of E_{2n} under $\Omega\alpha_*$.

We will prove the proposition by showing that $\gamma_*[\delta] = a_1$ and that $\gamma_*q_*[\mathbb{CP}^n] = a_{2n}$. The second of these is immediate from the commutative diagram above. To prove the first we will show that the homology suspension $\sigma([\delta])$ is equal to $[\mathbb{CP}^1]$. The homology suspension $\sigma([\delta])$ is represented by the cycle $S^1 \times [0, \infty] \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n$, where the first map sends (t, r) to

$$\left[\frac{t + r^2}{1 + r^2}, \frac{r(t-1)}{1 + r^2} \right].$$

We must therefore show that the degree of $S^1 \times [0, \infty] \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ is 1. To see this, note that $[0, 1]$ has a single preimage $(-1, 1)$. For $t \neq 1$ the map sends (t, r) to

$$\left[\frac{t + r^2}{r(t-1)}, 1 \right]$$

and so the derivative of $S^1 \times [0, \infty] \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ at $(-1, 1)$ is the map $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} = T_{[0,1]}\mathbb{CP}^1$ that sends $\partial/\partial t$ to $i/2$ and $\partial/\partial r$ to -1 . This is an isomorphism that preserves orientations, and so the degree is $+1$ as claimed. $\square$

7 Statement of Theorem C and proof of Theorem B

In §6 we constructed a finite-dimensional approximation $\mathbb{L}^n$ of $L\mathbb{CP}^n$. In this section we will state Theorem C, which describes the homology of $\mathbb{L}^n$, and use it to prove Theorem B. Homology groups are taken with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 7.1. Let γ_n denote the tautological complex line bundle on $\mathbb{CP}^n$. Let $u \in H^2(\mathbb{CP}^n)$ denote the first Chern class of γ_n^* . For any space X equipped with a map $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n$, the pullback $\pi^*u \in H^2(X)$ will also be denoted by u . In particular, we have classes $u \in H^2(\mathbb{L}^n)$ and $u \in H^2(L\mathbb{CP}^n)$.

Consider the chain of standard inclusions $\mathbb{CP}^0 \subset \mathbb{CP}^1 \subset \dots \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$, where each space is regarded as the collection of points in the next whose final homogeneous coordinate vanishes. The fundamental classes $[\mathbb{CP}^0], \dots, [\mathbb{CP}^n]$ form a basis for $H_*(\mathbb{CP}^n)$. It is well known that the normal bundle of $\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$ in $\mathbb{CP}^n$ is γ_{n-1}^* , and from this it follows that $u \cap [\mathbb{CP}^i] = [\mathbb{CP}^{i-1}]$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Recall from Proposition 6.5 that $\mathbb{L}^n$ admits a projection to $\mathbb{CP}^n$ and actions of $U(n+1)$ and S^1 . Therefore $H_*(\mathbb{L}^n)$ admits a homomorphism to $H_*(\mathbb{CP}^n)$ and an action of $H^*(\mathbb{CP}^n)$, an action of $H^*(U(n+1))$, and a degree-raising operator associated to the action of S^1 . Also, since $\mathbb{L}^n$ was constructed as a quotient of $\mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n$ there is a homomorphism $H_*(\mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n) \rightarrow H_*(\mathbb{L}^n)$.

Theorem C. $H_*(\mathbb{L}^n)$ has generators

$$[\mathbb{CP}^0], \dots, [\mathbb{CP}^n], \quad a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}, \quad b_0, \dots, b_n$$

with degrees $||[\mathbb{CP}^i]|| = 2i$, $|a_i| = 2i + 1$, $|b_i| = 2i + 2n$. These generators are subject to the single relation $(n + 1)b_0 = 0$. Moreover:

1. The projection $H_*(\mathbb{L}^n) \rightarrow H_*(\mathbb{CP}^n)$ sends $[\mathbb{CP}^i]$ to $[\mathbb{CP}^i]$ and sends the a_i and b_i to 0.
2. For $i \geq 0$ the cap product with u sends $[\mathbb{CP}^{i+1}]$ to $[\mathbb{CP}^i]$, a_{i+1} to a_i , and b_{i+1} to b_i . It annihilates $[\mathbb{CP}^0]$, a_0 and b_0 .
3. The action of $H_*(U(n + 1))$ on $H_*(\mathbb{L}^n)$ satisfies

$$e_{2j+1}b_n = 0, \quad e_{2j+1}[\mathbb{CP}^n] = 0, \quad e_{2j+1}a_{n-1} = (j + 1)b_j$$

for all $j \geq 0$.

4. The degree-raising operator associated to the action of S^1 on $\mathbb{L}^n$ can be described as follows. It annihilates the $[\mathbb{CP}^i]$ and b_i , and there are integers $\mu_{n-1}, \dots, \mu_1, \mu_0 = 1$ such that

$$a_i \mapsto \mu_i[\mathbb{CP}^{i+1}]$$

for $0 \leq i < (n - 1)$ and such that

$$a_{n-1} \mapsto \binom{n+1}{2}b_0 + \mu_{n-1}[\mathbb{CP}^n].$$

5. The homomorphism $H_*(\mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n) \rightarrow H_*(\mathbb{L}^n)$ sends $[\mathbb{CP}^i] \times [\mathbb{CP}^n]$ to b_i if $i > 0$ and to $b_0 + [\mathbb{CP}^n]$ if $i = 0$.

Proof of Theorem B. To begin, let us recall from Proposition 6.5 that we have a map

$$g_*: H_*(\mathbb{L}^n) \rightarrow H_*(L\mathbb{CP}^n)$$

that intertwines the $H_*(S^1)$ and $H_*(U(n + 1))$ actions, and that satisfies $u \cap g_*(x) = g_*(u \cap x)$ for any $x \in H_*(\mathbb{L}^n)$. Moreover, g_* is split-injective by Proposition 6.6. Finally, the map $f: \mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n \rightarrow L\mathbb{CP}^n$ from Definition 6.2, which describes the classes $E_{2i}1 = f_*([\mathbb{CP}^i] \times [\mathbb{CP}^n])$, factors as $g \circ q$ where $q: \mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^n$ denotes the quotient map.

Theorem C shows that $[\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}]$, a_{n-1} and b_n generate free summands of $H_*(\mathbb{L}^n)$ in degrees $2n - 2$, $2n - 1$ and $4n$ respectively, so that $g_*[\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}]$, g_*a_{n-1} and g_*b_n generate free summands of $H_*(L\mathbb{CP}^n)$ in degrees $2n - 2$, $2n - 1$ and $4n$ respectively. Shifting degrees we find that $g_*[\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}]$, g_*a_{n-1} and g_*b_n generate free summands of $\mathbb{H}_*(L\mathbb{CP}^n)$ in degrees -2 , -1 and $2n$ respectively. As explained in the introduction, the generators c , w and v of $\mathbb{H}_*(L\mathbb{CP}^n)$ may be chosen to be any classes that generate a free summand in the relevant degrees. We may therefore take

$$c = g_*[\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}], \quad w = g_*a_{n-1}, \quad v = g_*b_n.$$

The first part of Theorem C shows that c is the image of $[\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}]$ under the inclusion $H_*(\mathbb{CP}^n) \rightarrow H_*(L\mathbb{CP}^n)$. We also know that $[\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}] = u \cap [\mathbb{CP}^n]$.

In other words, $c = u \cap 1$ in $\mathbb{H}_*(L\mathbb{CP}^n)$. By a theorem of Tamanoi [Tam09, Theorem A] we therefore have $c \cdot x = u \cap x$ for any $x \in \mathbb{H}_*(L\mathbb{CP}^n)$. Therefore, using the description of the map $u \cap -$ on $H_*(\mathbb{L}^n)$ given by the second part of Theorem C, we have

$$g_*[\mathbb{CP}^{n-i}] = c^i, \quad g_*a_{n-i-1} = c^i \cdot w, \quad g_*b_{n-i} = c^i \cdot v.$$

We can now prove the theorem. First, $E_{2i}1 = f_*([\mathbb{CP}^i] \times [\mathbb{CP}^n]) = g_* \circ q_*([\mathbb{CP}^i] \times [\mathbb{CP}^n])$, which by the final part of Theorem C is equal to $g_*(b_i) = c^{n-i} \cdot v$ if $i > 0$ and is equal to $g_*([\mathbb{CP}^n] + b_0) = 1 + c^n \cdot v$ if $i = 0$. Second, since g_* is a $H_*(U(n+1))$ module homomorphism, the third part of Theorem C shows that $e_{2j+1}v = 0$, $e_{2j+1}c = 0$ and $e_{2j+1}w = (j+1)c^{n-j} \cdot v$. Finally, since g_* is a map of $H_*(S^1)$ modules we have that $g_*(Rx) = \Delta(g_*x)$ for any $x \in H_*(\mathbb{L}^n)$. The fourth part of Theorem C now gives us $\Delta(c^i) = 0$ and $\Delta(c^i \cdot v) = 0$, and integers $\mu_{n-1}, \dots, \mu_0 = 1$ such that $\Delta(c^i \cdot w) = \mu_i c^i$ for $i > 0$ and

$$\Delta(w) = \binom{n+1}{2} c^n \cdot v + \mu_{n-1} 1.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

8 $\mathbb{L}^n$ as a compactification of $T\mathbb{CP}^n$

We have established that Theorem A follows from Theorem C, which describes the homology of the space $\mathbb{L}^n$ introduced in §6. We now work towards a proof of Theorem C. In §8.1 we see that $\mathbb{L}^n$ can be identified with the pinched compactification $(T\mathbb{CP}^n)^P$. Then in §8.2 we use the techniques of §3 to compute the homology of $\mathbb{L}^n$ in terms of $ST\mathbb{CP}^n$.

8.1 $\mathbb{L}^n$ and $(T\mathbb{CP}^n)^P$

$\mathbb{L}^n$ was constructed in Definition 6.4 as the quotient of $\mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n$ obtained by identifying, for each $l \in \mathbb{CP}^n$, the subset $\{l\} \times \{l\} \sqcup \mathbb{CP}^{n-1}_{l^\perp} \times \{l\}$ to a single point. In this section we will identify $\mathbb{L}^n$ as the pinched compactification of $T\mathbb{CP}^n$ introduced in §3. We begin with a concrete description of $T\mathbb{CP}^n$.

Note 8.1. Recall that $\gamma_n \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n$ denotes the tautological line bundle and that $u \in H^2(\mathbb{CP}^n)$ denotes the first Chern class of γ_n^* . By regarding γ_n as a sub-bundle of the trivial bundle $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n$ we may form its orthogonal complement $\gamma_n^\perp \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n$. Recall that $T\mathbb{CP}^n = \gamma_n^\perp \otimes \gamma_n^*$. From this it is easy to see that

$$c(T\mathbb{CP}^n) = (1 + u)^{n+1}.$$

Given a nonzero vector $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, we will write $[\mathbf{u}] \in \mathbb{CP}^n$ for the line spanned by $\mathbf{u}$. We can describe $T\mathbb{CP}^n$ as the quotient space

$$T\mathbb{CP}^n = \{(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \mid \|\mathbf{u}\| = 1, \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0\} / S^1 \quad (28)$$

where S^1 acts by the formula $t(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (t\mathbf{u}, t\mathbf{v})$. We write the equivalence class of a pair $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ as $[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]$. The projection $T\mathbb{CP}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n$ is given by $[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}] \mapsto [\mathbf{u}]$. The isomorphism of this space with $\gamma_n^\perp \otimes \gamma_n^*$ sends $[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]$ to $\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{u}^* \in (\gamma_n^\perp \otimes \gamma_n^*)_{[\mathbf{u}]}$. Here $\mathbf{u}^*$ denotes the functional $\langle \mathbf{u}, - \rangle$.

Proposition 8.2. *There is a diffeomorphism of almost-complex manifolds*

$$\phi: \mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n \rightarrow (T\mathbb{CP}^n)^\mathbb{P}$$

over $\mathbb{CP}^n$ with the following properties:

1. The pullback $\phi^* \tau_{T\mathbb{CP}^n}$ is the exterior tensor product $\gamma_n \boxtimes \gamma_n^*$.
2. The point $(l, l) \in \mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n$ is sent by ϕ to the origin in $T_l \mathbb{CP}^n$.
3. The subset $\mathbb{CP}_\perp^{n-1} \times \{l\}$ is sent by ϕ to the subset $\mathbb{P}(T_l \mathbb{CP}^n)$ of $(T\mathbb{CP}^n)^\mathbb{P}$.
4. The action of $U(n+1)$ on $\mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n$ corresponds under ϕ to the action on $(T\mathbb{CP}^n)^\mathbb{P}$ that is induced from the natural action of $U(n+1)$ on $T\mathbb{CP}^n$.
5. Under ϕ the circle action on $\mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n$ corresponds to a circle action on $(T\mathbb{CP}^n)^\mathbb{P}$. This circle action restricts to the action on $T\mathbb{CP}^n$ given by

$$t[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}] = \left[\frac{t + \|\mathbf{v}\|^2}{1 + \|\mathbf{v}\|^2} \mathbf{u} + \frac{t - 1}{1 + \|\mathbf{v}\|^2} \mathbf{v}, \frac{(t - 1)\|\mathbf{v}\|^2}{1 + \|\mathbf{v}\|^2} \mathbf{u} + \frac{t\|\mathbf{v}\|^2 + 1}{1 + \|\mathbf{v}\|^2} \mathbf{v} \right]. \quad (29)$$

Corollary 8.3. *The diffeomorphism $\phi: \mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n \rightarrow (T\mathbb{CP}^n)^\mathbb{P}$ reduces to a homeomorphism $\psi: \mathbb{L}^n \rightarrow (T\mathbb{CP}^n)^\mathbb{P}$. This map commutes with the projections to $\mathbb{CP}^n$, is equivariant with respect to the $U(n+1)$ actions, and transports the S^1 action on $\mathbb{L}^n$ to an action on $(T\mathbb{CP}^n)^\mathbb{P}$ that restricts to the action on $T\mathbb{CP}^n$ described by (29).*

Proof of Proposition 8.2. We begin with a general note about projectivisations of complex vector bundles. Let ξ be a complex vector bundle over a space X . Then the associated bundle $\mathbb{P}\xi \rightarrow X$ of complex projective spaces admits a tautological complex line bundle $\delta_\xi \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\xi$. A point in $\mathbb{P}\xi$ consists of a line l in a fibre ξ_x , and the fibre of δ_ξ over this point is nothing but the line l . If γ is a complex line bundle over X then there is a natural isomorphism $\phi: \mathbb{P}\xi \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\xi \otimes \gamma)$ that sends $l \subset \xi_x$ to $l \otimes \gamma_x \subset \xi_x \otimes \gamma_x = (\xi \otimes \gamma)_x$. Note that $\phi^* \delta_{\xi \otimes \gamma} = \delta_\xi \otimes \pi^* \gamma$, where $\pi: \mathbb{P}\xi \rightarrow X$ is the projection.

Now $\mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n$ is the projectivisation of the trivial bundle $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ over $\mathbb{CP}^n$, while $T\mathbb{CP}^n$ is the vector bundle $\gamma_n^\perp \otimes \gamma_n^*$. We therefore have an isomorphism of vector bundles

$$\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \otimes \gamma_n^* \cong T\mathbb{CP}^n \oplus \mathbb{C} \quad (30)$$

and, as in the last paragraph, an isomorphism

$$\phi: \mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{P}(T\mathbb{CP}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}) = (T\mathbb{CP}^n)^\mathbb{P} \quad (31)$$

as required. Definition 3.3 defined a tautological line bundle τ_ξ on $\xi^\mathbb{P}$ for any $\xi \rightarrow X$. But $\xi^\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(\xi \oplus \mathbb{C})$, and one can see that $\tau_\xi = \delta_{\xi \oplus \mathbb{C}}$. Now $\tau_{T\mathbb{CP}^n} = \delta_{T\mathbb{CP}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}}$ so that $\phi^* \tau_{T\mathbb{CP}^n} = \delta_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1}} \otimes \pi^* \gamma_n^*$. But $\delta_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1}} = \gamma_n \boxtimes \mathbb{C}$ and $\pi^* \gamma_n^* = \mathbb{C} \boxtimes \gamma_n^*$. This proves the first assertion.

We now prove the second part. Under the identification $\mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$ the point (l, l) is just the span of $l \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ in the fibre over l . In other words, it is the image of l under the inclusion $\mathbb{CP}^n = \mathbb{P}(\gamma_n) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$. Similarly, the embedding $T\mathbb{CP}^n \hookrightarrow (T\mathbb{CP}^n)^\mathbb{P}$ sends $0 \in T_l \mathbb{CP}^n$ to the image of l under the embedding $\mathbb{CP}^n = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(T\mathbb{CP}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}) = (T\mathbb{CP}^n)^\mathbb{P}$. To prove the

second assertion it therefore suffices to note that, as in the first paragraph, there is a diffeomorphism $\phi: \mathbb{P}(\gamma_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C})$ that fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}(\gamma_n) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbb{P}(T\mathbb{CP}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}). \end{array}$$

Thus ϕ does indeed identify the required points.

We now prove the third part. This is similar to the proof of the second part. Under the identification $\mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$ the subset $\mathbb{CP}_{l^\perp}^{n-1} \times \{l\}$ is the image under $\mathbb{P}(\gamma_n^\perp) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$ of the fibre over l . Similarly, the subset $\mathbb{P}(T_l\mathbb{CP}^n)$ of $(T\mathbb{CP}^n)^\mathbb{P}$ is the image under $\mathbb{P}(T\mathbb{CP}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(T\mathbb{CP}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}) = (T\mathbb{CP}^n)^\mathbb{P}$ of the fibre over l . However, as in the first paragraph there is a diffeomorphism $\phi: \mathbb{P}(\gamma_n^\perp) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(T\mathbb{CP}^n)$ that fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}(\gamma_n^\perp) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbb{P}(T\mathbb{CP}^n) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbb{P}(T\mathbb{CP}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}), \end{array}$$

so that ϕ identifies the required subsets.

Before proving the fourth and fifth claims, we show that the composite embedding

$$\varepsilon: T\mathbb{CP}^n \hookrightarrow (T\mathbb{CP}^n)^\mathbb{P} \xrightarrow{\phi^{-1}} \mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n$$

is given by $\varepsilon[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}] = ([\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}], [\mathbf{u}])$. The first map above sends $[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]$ to the span of $(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{u}^*, 1) \in (\gamma_n^\perp \otimes \gamma_n) \oplus \mathbb{C}$. We must therefore check that ϕ sends $([\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}], [\mathbf{u}])$ to this span. Regarding $\mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n$ as $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$, $([\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}], [\mathbf{u}])$ is just the span of $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}$ in the fibre of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ over $[\mathbf{u}] \in \mathbb{CP}^n$. Applying ϕ , this is transformed to the span of $\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{u}^* + \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}^*$ in the fibre of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \otimes \gamma_n^*$ over $[\mathbf{u}]$. But the isomorphism $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \otimes \gamma_n^* \cong T\mathbb{CP}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}$ sends this vector to $(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{u}^*, 1)$ as required.

To prove the fourth claim it suffices to note that ε is $U(n+1)$ -equivariant. To prove the final claim we must show that, given a point $[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}] \in T\mathbb{CP}^n$, the embedding ε sends the pair (29) to $t([\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}], [\mathbf{u}]) = ([\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}], [R(t, [\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}])\mathbf{u}])$. It is not difficult to compute that

$$R(t, [\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}])\mathbf{u} = \frac{t + \|\mathbf{v}\|^2}{1 + \|\mathbf{v}\|^2}\mathbf{u} + \frac{t - 1}{1 + \|\mathbf{v}\|^2}\mathbf{v}$$

as required. To complete the proof we need only show that the sum of the two quantities on the right hand side of (29) span the line $[\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}]$. But one can compute the sum and verify this directly. $\square$

8.2 The homology of $\mathbb{L}^n$

In this subsection we compute the homology of $\mathbb{L}^n$ in terms of the homology of $ST\mathbb{CP}^n$. Since Corollary 8.3 identifies $\mathbb{L}^n$ as $(T\mathbb{CP}^n)^\mathbb{P}$, this is a routine consequence of the results of §3.

Definition 8.4. Proposition 8.2 and Corollary 8.3 show that $(T\mathbb{CP}^n)^{\mathbb{P}}$ admits actions of $U(n+1)$ and S^1 . The first action is obtained from the standard action on $T\mathbb{CP}^n$, so preserves the length of vectors. One can also check that the second action preserves the length of vectors. Thus $ST\mathbb{CP}^n$ inherits actions of $U(n+1)$ and S^1 . In this way $H_{*-1}(ST\mathbb{CP}^n)$ becomes a module over $H_*(U(n+1))$ and over $H_*(S^1)$. We now twist these module structures, declaring that an element α in $H_*(U(n+1))$ or $H_*(S^1)$ acts on $H_{*-1}(ST\mathbb{CP}^n)$ as $(-1)^{|\alpha|}$ times the operation defined by the actions above. We also regard $H_{*-1}(ST\mathbb{CP}^n)$ as a module over $H^*(\mathbb{CP}^n)$ but there is no twisting of this module structure.

Proposition 8.5. 1. *There is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow H_*(\mathbb{CP}^n) \rightarrow H_*(\mathbb{L}^n) \rightarrow H_{*-1}(ST\mathbb{CP}^n) \rightarrow 0$$

of $H_(U(n+1))$, $H_*(S^1)$ and $H^*(\mathbb{CP}^n)$ modules. It is split as a sequence of $H_*(U(n+1))$ and of $H^*(\mathbb{CP}^n)$ modules, but not as $H_*(S^1)$ modules.*

2. *The composition $H_*(\mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n) \xrightarrow{q_*} H_*(\mathbb{L}^n) \rightarrow H_{*-1}(ST\mathbb{CP}^n)$ sends $[\mathbb{CP}^i] \times [\mathbb{CP}^n]$ to $u^{n-i} \cap [ST\mathbb{CP}^n]$.*
3. *Let $R: H_*(\mathbb{L}^n) \rightarrow H_{*+1}(\mathbb{L}^n)$ denote the degree-raising operator associated to the S^1 action on $\mathbb{L}^n$. Let $D \in H_1(\mathbb{L}^n)$ be a class whose image in $H_0(ST\mathbb{CP}^n)$ is the homology class of a point. Then the composition*

$$H_1(\mathbb{L}^n) \xrightarrow{R} H_2(\mathbb{L}^n) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} H_2(\mathbb{CP}^n)$$

sends D to $[\mathbb{CP}^1]$.

Proof. By Corollary 8.3 there is an isomorphism $\psi_*: H_*(\mathbb{L}^n) \cong H_*((T\mathbb{CP}^n)^{\mathbb{P}})$ of $H^*(\mathbb{CP}^n)$, $H_*(U(n+1))$ and $H_*(S^1)$ modules. The actions of $U(n+1)$ and S^1 preserve the lengths of vectors, and the action of $U(n+1)$ commutes with the projection, so the first part is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.5 and Lemma 3.7.

Let us recall from Proposition 3.6 that the composite $H_*((T\mathbb{CP}^n)^{\mathbb{P}}) \rightarrow H_*((T\mathbb{CP}^n)^{\mathbb{P}}) \rightarrow H_{*-1}(ST\mathbb{CP}^n)$ sends $[(T\mathbb{CP}^n)^{\mathbb{P}}]$ to $[ST\mathbb{CP}^n]$, sends classes $u_{T\mathbb{CP}^n} \cap x$ to zero, and is a homomorphism of $H^*(\mathbb{CP}^n)$ modules. Now apply the isomorphisms $\phi: \mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^n \rightarrow (T\mathbb{CP}^n)^{\mathbb{P}}$, $\psi: \mathbb{L}^n \rightarrow (T\mathbb{CP}^n)^{\mathbb{P}}$ of §8.1. The composition just mentioned becomes the composition of part 2 of the proposition; $[(T\mathbb{CP}^n)^{\mathbb{P}}]$ becomes $[\mathbb{CP}^n] \times [\mathbb{CP}^n]$; $u_{T\mathbb{CP}^n}$ becomes $c_1(\gamma_n \boxtimes \gamma_n^*) = 1 \times u - u \times 1$ by Proposition 8.2. Now

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbb{CP}^i] \times [\mathbb{CP}^n] &= (u^{n-i} \times 1) \cap ([\mathbb{CP}^n] \times [\mathbb{CP}^n]) \\ &= (1 \times u^{n-i}) \cap ([\mathbb{CP}^n] \times [\mathbb{CP}^n]) \\ &\quad + (u \times 1 - 1 \times u) \cap \lambda \cap ([\mathbb{CP}^n] \times [\mathbb{CP}^n]) \\ &= \pi^* u^{n-i} \cap ([\mathbb{CP}^n] \times [\mathbb{CP}^n]) \\ &\quad + (u \times 1 - 1 \times u) \cap \lambda \cap ([\mathbb{CP}^n] \times [\mathbb{CP}^n]) \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda = (u^{n-i-1} \times 1 + \dots + 1 \times u^{n-i-1})$. By the results of Proposition 3.6 described earlier in the paragraph, this class is sent to $u^{n-i} \cap [ST\mathbb{CP}^n]$. This proves the second assertion.

It suffices to prove the third claim for a single choice of D . We will begin by giving an explicit representative for such a class on which we can compute

$\pi_* \circ R$ directly. Let $\delta: [0, \infty] \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^n$ be the map that sends r to the point $([1, r, 0, \dots, 0], [1, 0, \dots, 0]) = ([\mathbf{e}_0 + r\mathbf{e}_1], [\mathbf{e}_0])$. Its value at 0 is $([\mathbf{e}_0], [\mathbf{e}_0])$ and its value at ∞ is $([\mathbf{e}_1], [\mathbf{e}_0])$. These two points are identified in $\mathbb{L}^n$, so δ is a 1-cycle in $\mathbb{L}^n$. One can easily verify that the isomorphism $\psi: \mathbb{L}^n \rightarrow (T\mathbb{CP}^n)^P$ of Proposition 8.2 sends δ to a cycle $\delta': [0, \infty] \rightarrow (T\mathbb{CP}^n)^P$ with $\delta'(r) = [\mathbf{e}_0, r\mathbf{e}_1] \in T\mathbb{CP}^n$ for $r \in [0, \infty)$.

Now we refer to the proof of Proposition 3.5 where the map $H_*((T\mathbb{CP}^n)^P) \rightarrow H_{*-1}(ST\mathbb{CP}^n)$ was constructed. It is clear that the composition of $H_*((T\mathbb{CP}^n)^P) \rightarrow H_*((T\mathbb{CP}^n)^P, \mathbb{CP}^n)$ with the first two isomorphisms of (18) sends $[\delta']$ to the homology class of the relative cycle $(I, \partial I) \rightarrow (I, \partial I) \times ST\mathbb{CP}^n$, $r \mapsto (r, [\mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{e}_1])$. This is sent by $\Theta: H_*((I, \partial I) \times ST\mathbb{CP}^n) \rightarrow H_{*-1}(ST\mathbb{CP}^n)$ to the homology class of the point $[\mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{e}_1]$. Thus $[\delta]$ is indeed a class of the form D described.

Now one sees immediately that $\pi_* \circ R_*[\delta]$ is represented by the cycle described in the final paragraph of the proof of Lemma 6.7, and it is shown there that this cycle represents $[\mathbb{CP}^1]$. This completes the proof. $\square$

9 Statement of Theorem D and proof of Theorem C

We now state Theorem D, which describes the homology of $ST\mathbb{CP}^n$, and use it to prove Theorem C. Homology groups are taken with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$ throughout.

Definition 9.1. Recall from Definition 8.4 that $ST\mathbb{CP}^n$ is equipped with actions of $U(n+1)$ and S^1 . It also admits the projection to $\mathbb{CP}^n$. In this way $H_*(ST\mathbb{CP}^n)$ becomes a module over $H_*(U(n+1))$, $H_*(S^1)$ and $H^*(\mathbb{CP}^n)$. Definition 8.4 also explained certain twistings of the module structures, which we *do not* use here. It is convenient to regard the $H_*(S^1)$ module structure in terms of the degree-raising operator $R_S: H_*(ST\mathbb{CP}^n) \rightarrow H_{*+1}(ST\mathbb{CP}^n)$ given by applying $[S^1]$.

Theorem D. $H_*(ST\mathbb{CP}^n)$ is generated by classes

$$a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}, \quad b_0, \dots, b_n$$

in degrees $|a_i| = 2i$ and $|b_i| = 2i + 2n - 1$, subject to the single relation $(n+1)b_0 = 0$. The degree 0 generator a_0 is just the homology class of a point. Furthermore $u \cap a_{i+1} = a_i$ and $b_{i+1} = b_i$ for $i \geq 0$, while $u \cap a_0 = 0$ and $u \cap b_0 = 0$. The action of $H_*(U(n+1))$ on $H_*(ST\mathbb{CP}^n)$ is determined by the formulas

$$e_{2j+1}b_n = 0, \quad e_{2j+1}a_{n-1} = -(j+1)b_j$$

and R_S is determined by the formulas

$$R_S a_i = 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq i < (n-1), \quad R_S a_{n-1} = \binom{n+1}{2} b_0, \quad R_S b_i = 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq n.$$

Proof of Theorem C. By taking the splitting of the exact sequence of Proposition 8.5, there is an isomorphism

$$\Phi: H_*(\mathbb{L}^n) \cong H_*(\mathbb{CP}^n) \oplus H_{*-1}(ST\mathbb{CP}^n)$$

of abelian groups. Taking the standard generators of $H_*(\mathbb{CP}^n)$ and the generators of $H_{*-1}(STCP^n)$ described in Theorem D, we obtain the required generators and relation.

It is precisely the projection map that provides the splitting in Proposition 8.5, so that part 1 follows immediately.

Give $H_{*-1}(STCP^n)$ the twisted $H_*(U(n+1))$ and $H_*(S^1)$ module structures described in Definition 8.4. Then, since the sequence of Proposition 8.5 is a split short exact sequence of $H^*(\mathbb{CP}^n)$ and $H_*(U(n+1))$ modules, the isomorphism above is an isomorphism of $H^*(\mathbb{CP}^n)$ and $H_*(U(n+1))$ modules, which using Theorem D gives us part 2 and part 3.

The short exact sequence of Proposition 8.5 is a short exact sequence of $H_*(S^1)$ modules, but is not split. The degree-raising operator, which we denote by R , therefore satisfies $Rx = 0$ for $x \in H_*(\mathbb{CP}^n)$ and $Ry = -R_S y$ modulo $H_*(\mathbb{CP}^n)$ for $y \in H_{*-1}(STCP^n)$. Here R_S denotes the degree-shifting operator on $H_*(STCP^n)$. The description of R in terms of some integers $\mu_{n-1}, \dots, \mu_0$ follows from this remark and Theorem D. We now note that a_0 serves as the class D of Proposition 8.5, and that $\pi_* \circ Ra_0 = [\mathbb{CP}^1]$, so that $\mu_0 = 1$ by the result of that proposition.

The final part is the conclusion of part 2 of Proposition 8.5. $\square$

10 Proof of Theorem D

We have now established that Theorem A follows from Theorem D. It therefore remains, in this final section, to give the proof of Theorem D. Recall from Definitions 8.4 and 9.1 that $H_*(STCP^n)$ is a module over $H_*(S^1)$, $H_*(U(n+1))$ and $H^*(\mathbb{CP}^n)$. Theorem D describes $H_*(STCP^n)$ and these module structures. We will prove the theorem by combining a series of lemmas, several of which are instances of the general theory established in §4.

Lemma 10.1. *$H_*(STCP^n)$ is generated by classes*

$$a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}, \quad b_0, \dots, b_n$$

in degrees $|a_i| = 2i$ and $|b_i| = 2i + 2n - 1$, subject to the single relation $(n+1)b_0 = 0$. Furthermore $u \cap a_{i+1} = a_i$ and $b_{i+1} = b_i$ for $i \geq 0$, while $u \cap a_0 = 0$ and $u \cap b_0 = 0$. The Gysin sequence maps

$$H_{2i}(STCP^n) \rightarrow H_{2i}(\mathbb{CP}^n), \quad H_{2i}(\mathbb{CP}^n) \rightarrow H_{2i+2n-1}(STCP^n)$$

send a_i to $\mathbb{CP}^i$ and $\mathbb{CP}^i$ to b_i respectively.

Proof. The Gysin sequence (24) restricts to isomorphisms $H_i(STCP^n) \cong H_i(\mathbb{CP}^n)$ for $i \leq 2n - 2$, $H_{i-2n+1}(\mathbb{CP}^n) \cong H_i(STCP^n)$ for $i \geq 2n - 1$, and a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{2n}(\mathbb{CP}^n) \xrightarrow{c_n(T\mathbb{CP}^n) \cap -} H_0(\mathbb{CP}^n) \rightarrow H_{2n-1}(STCP^n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that $c_n(T\mathbb{CP}^n) \cap [\mathbb{CP}^n] = (n+1)$. The description of the groups $H_*(STCP^i)$, and of the elements a_i, b_i , is now immediate. The effect of taking cap products with u is immediate from Lemma 4.3. $\square$

Let us consider the following inclusions of spaces:

$$STCP^n \hookrightarrow STCP^{n+1}|CP^n \hookrightarrow STCP^{n+1}$$

The left-hand space has a natural $U(n+1)$ action, and the right-hand space has a natural $U(n+2)$ action that restricts to a $U(n+1)$ action. The middle space inherits a $U(n+1)$ action from the right-hand space, and both inclusions are then $U(n+1)$ -equivariant.

Lemma 10.2. $H_*(STCP^{n+1}|CP^n)$ is free with basis $a_0, \dots, a_n, b_0, \dots, b_n$. The induced maps

$$H_*(STCP^n) \rightarrow H_*(STCP^{n+1}|CP^n) \rightarrow H_*(STCP^{n+1})$$

are given by $a_i \mapsto a_i$, $b_0 \mapsto 0$, $b_{i+1} \mapsto b_i$ and $a_i \mapsto a_i$, $b_i \mapsto b_i$ respectively.

Proof. The computation of the homology groups is directly analogous to the computation in Lemma 10.1 but somewhat simpler. Note that $TCP^{n+1}|CP^n = TCP^n \oplus \gamma_n^*$. The claim about the first map now follows from Lemma 4.4. The description of the second map is immediate from Lemma 4.5. $\square$

Lemma 10.3. Let $n \geq 1$ and let $0 \leq i \leq n$. Then for $x \in H_*(STCP^n)$ we have

$$u \cap (e_{2i+1}x) = e_{2i+1}(u \cap x).$$

The same formula holds for $x \in H_*(S(TCP^{n+1}|TCP^n))$.

Proof. Let $A: U(n+1) \times STCP^n \rightarrow STCP^n$ and $A: U(n+1) \times STCP^{n+1}|CP^n \rightarrow STCP^{n+1}|CP^n$ denote the action maps. Then in both cases $A^*u = 1 \times u$. It suffices to prove this only in the first case, and only for $n \geq 2$; the remaining cases follow from this. To see this in the first case and for $n \geq 2$, note that the restriction map $H^2(U(n+1) \times STCP^n) \rightarrow H^2(STCP^n)$ is an isomorphism that, since A is an action, sends A^*u to u .

Now $u \cap (e_{2i+1}x) = u \cap A_*(e_{2i+1} \times x) = A_*(A^*u \cap (e_{2i+1} \times x)) = A_*((1 \times u) \cap (e_{2i+1} \times x)) = A_*(e_{2i+1} \times (u \cap x)) = e_{2i+1}(u \cap x)$ as required. $\square$

Lemma 10.4. For each $i \geq 1$ there is a constant $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for all $n \geq i$ and $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ the equation

$$e_{2i+1}a_j = \begin{cases} \lambda_i b_{i+j-n+1} & i+j \geq (n-1) \\ 0 & i+j < (n-1) \end{cases}$$

holds in $H_*(STCP^n)$.

Proof. First let us suppose that there is a constant λ_i such that for each $n \geq 1$ the equation

$$e_{2i+1}a_{n-1} = \lambda_i b_i \tag{32}$$

holds in $H_{2n+2i+1}(STCP^n)$. Then by Lemma 10.3 we have $e_{2i+1}a_j = e_{2i+1}(u^{n-1-j} \cap a_{n-1}) = u^{n-1-j} \cap (e_{2i+1}a_{n-1}) = \lambda_i u^{n-1-j} \cap b_i = \lambda_{i+j-n+1} b_{i+j-n+1}$ for all $0 \leq j \leq (n-1)$ as required.

We must now find $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that (32) holds for all $n \geq i$. Let λ_i be determined by the equation $e_{2i+1}a_{i-1} = \lambda_i b_i$ in $H_{4i-1}(STCP^i)$. In other words we define λ_i by the instance $n = i$ of (32). We will now show that with this choice of λ_i the equation (32) holds for all $n \geq i$.

Fix $n \geq i$ and suppose that (32) holds. Then by Lemma 10.2 we have $e_{2i+1}a_{n-1} = \lambda_i b_{i-1}$ in $H_{2n+2i-1}(\mathcal{STCP}^{n+1}|\mathbb{CP}^n)$. There is some constant μ such that $e_{2i+1}a_n = \mu b_i$ in $H_{2n+2i+1}(\mathcal{STCP}^{n+1}|\mathbb{CP}^n)$. By taking the cap product with u on both sides of this equation and applying Lemma 10.3 we find $\mu = \lambda_i$. Thus $e_{2i+1}a_n = \lambda_i b_i$ in $H_{2n+2i+1}(\mathcal{STCP}^{n+1}|\mathbb{CP}^n)$. Applying Lemma 10.2 again we find that $e_{2i+1}a_n = \lambda_i b_i$ in $H_{2n+2i+1}(\mathcal{STCP}^{n+1})$. This is equation (32) with n replaced by $(n+1)$.

Equation (32) therefore holds for all n by induction, and this completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 10.5. $e_{2n+1}a_0 = \pm(n+1)b_1$ in $H_*(\mathcal{STCP}^n)$.

Proof. Recall that we have described $T\mathbb{CP}^n$ as the space

$$\{(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \mid \|\mathbf{u}\| = 1, \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0\} / S^1$$

where S^1 acts by the formula $t(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (t\mathbf{u}, t\mathbf{v})$. We can therefore describe $\mathcal{STCP}^n \subset T\mathbb{CP}^n$ as the space F_n/S^1 , where $F_n = \{(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \mid \|\mathbf{u}\| = 1, \|\mathbf{v}\| = 1, \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0\}$ is the Stiefel manifold $U(n+1)/U(n-1)$.

Recall that $H_*(U(n+1)) = \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(e_1, \dots, e_{2n+1})$. It is well-known that as a module over this ring $H_*(F_n) = \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(e_1, \dots, e_{2n+1})/\langle e_1, \dots, e_{2n-1} \rangle$, so that its homology groups are nonzero only in degrees $0, 2n-1, 2n+1, 4n$. The class $e_{2n+1}a_0$ is the image of e_{2n+1} under $H_*(F_n) \rightarrow H_*(\mathcal{STCP}^n)$.

Let us consider the Serre spectral sequence (E^r, d^r) of the fibration $F_n \rightarrow \mathcal{STCP}^n \rightarrow BS^1$. This has $E_{*,*}^2 = H_*(BS^1) \otimes H_*(F_n)$. For each $i \geq 0$ we write a_i for a generator of $H_{2i}(BS^1)$. For degree reasons we have $E^2 = E^3 = \dots = E^{2n}$. From our computation of $H_*(\mathcal{STCP}^n)$ in Lemma 10.1 we know that $H_{2n-1}(\mathcal{STCP}^n) = \mathbb{Z}/(n+1)$, and this forces $d^{2n}(a_n \otimes 1) = \pm(n+1)1 \otimes e_{2n-1}$. It follows that $d^{2n}(a_{n+i} \otimes 1) = \pm(n+1)a_i \otimes e_{2n-1}$ for $i \geq 0$. No further differentials effect the groups in total degree $(2n+1)$.

From the last paragraph we find that $E_{0,2n+1}^\infty = \mathbb{Z}$ with generator $1 \otimes e_{2n+1}$, that $E_{2,2n-1}^\infty = \mathbb{Z}/(n+1)$, and that all other terms of E^∞ in total degree $2n+1$ vanish. But $H_{2n+1}(\mathcal{STCP}^n)$ is a copy of $\mathbb{Z}$ with generator b_0 , and so $E_{0,2n+1}^\infty \rightarrow H_{2n+1}(\mathcal{STCP}^n)$ must send $1 \otimes e_{2n+1}$ to $\pm(n+1)b_0$. By the first paragraph, $1 \otimes e_{2n+1}$ represents the element $e_{2n+1}a_0$, and so this completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 10.6. $e_{2n-1}a_0 = b_0$ in $H_*(\mathcal{STCP}^n)$.

Proof. Consider the inclusion $\mathcal{STCP}^n|\mathbb{CP}^0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{STCP}^n$. If we regard $U(n) \subset U(n+1)$ as the subgroup consisting of transformations that leave the first coordinate unchanged, then the action of $U(n)$ on $\mathcal{STCP}^n$ restricts to an action on $\mathcal{STCP}^n|\mathbb{CP}^0$. Indeed, under the identification of $\mathcal{STCP}^n|\mathbb{CP}^0$ with the sphere in $\mathbb{C}^n$ this is just the standard action.

Now $H_*(\mathcal{STCP}^n|\mathbb{CP}^0)$ is free on generators a_0 and b_0 that are mapped to the elements of the same name in $H_*(\mathcal{STCP}^n)$ (compare with Lemma 10.1 or Lemma 10.2). We can therefore prove the lemma by showing that $e_{2n-1}a_0 = b_0$ in $H_*(\mathcal{STCP}^n|\mathbb{CP}^0)$.

Recall the rotation map $R: S^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^{n-1} \rightarrow U(n)$ from Definition 5.1 and consider the map $U: S^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^{n-1} \times S^{2n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{n-1} \times S^{2n-1}$ that sends $(t, l, \mathbf{v})$ to $(l, R(t, l)\mathbf{v})$. By the definition of e_{2n-1} we have $e_{2n-1}a_0 = \pi_{2*}U_*([S^1] \times [\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}] \times \text{pt})$. We must therefore show that $U_*([S^1] \times [\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}] \times \text{pt}) = \text{pt} \times$

$[S^{2n-1}]$. But $U([S^1] \times -)$ is the degree-raising operator associated to the action of S^1 on

$$\mathbb{CP}^{n-1} \times S^{2n-1} = \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{CP}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^n) = \mathbb{S}(\gamma_{n-1}^\perp \oplus \gamma_{n-1})$$

given by scalar multiplication in the second summand. By Theorem 4.2, $U_*([S^1] \times -)$ is therefore equal to the composite

$$H_{2n-2}(\mathbb{CP}^{n-1} \times S^{2n-1}) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} H_{2n-2}(\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}) \xrightarrow{E_{\gamma_{n-1}^\perp} \cap -} H_0(\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}) \rightarrow H_{2n-1}(\mathbb{CP}^{n-1} \times S^{2n-1})$$

so that it suffices to show that $\langle E_{\gamma_{n-1}^\perp}, [\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}] \rangle = 1$. But $c(\gamma_{n-1}^\perp) = c(\gamma_{n-1})^{-1} = (1 - u)^{-1} = 1 + u + \cdots + u^{n-1}$, so that $\langle E_{\gamma_{n-1}^\perp}, [\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}] \rangle = 1$ as required. $\square$

Lemma 10.7. $e_1 a_{n-1} = -b_0$ in $H_*(ST\mathbb{CP}^n)$.

Proof. Consider the actions

$$\begin{aligned} A: S^1 \times ST\mathbb{CP}^n &\rightarrow ST\mathbb{CP}^n, \\ B: S^1 \times ST\mathbb{CP}^n|_{\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}} &\rightarrow ST\mathbb{CP}^n|_{\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

obtained by scalar multiplication in the final coordinate. By the definition of e_1 (Definition 5.1) we have $e_1 a_{n-1} = A_*([S^1] \times a_{n-1})$. We claim that $B_*([S^1] \times a_{n-1}) = nb_0$. This will prove the lemma, because then $A_*([S^1] \times a_{n-1}) = nb_0 = -b_0$. (Recall that $H_{2n-1}(ST\mathbb{CP}^n) = \mathbb{Z}/(n+1)$.)

Note that $T\mathbb{CP}^n|_{\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}} = T\mathbb{CP}^{n-1} \oplus \gamma_{n-1}^*$, and with respect to this splitting B is just the action given by scalar multiplication in the second summand. The operator $B_*([S^1] \times -)$ is then the associated degree-raising operator, which by Theorem 4.2 is equal to the composite

$$H_{2n-2}(ST\mathbb{CP}^n|_{\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}}) \rightarrow H_{2n-2}(\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}) \xrightarrow{E_{T\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}} \cap -} H_0(\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}) \rightarrow H_{2n-1}(ST\mathbb{CP}^n|_{\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}}).$$

This map sends a_{n-1} to $\langle E_{T\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}}, [\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}] \rangle b_0 = nb_0$ as required. $\square$

Lemma 10.8. *The action of S^1 that $ST\mathbb{CP}^n$ inherits from $(T\mathbb{CP}^n)^P$ is homotopic to the action given by scalar multiplication in the fibres.*

Proof. By Proposition 8.2 the first action is given by the formula

$$t[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}] = \left[\frac{t+1}{2} \mathbf{u} + \frac{t-1}{2} \mathbf{v}, \frac{t-1}{2} \mathbf{u} + \frac{t+1}{2} \mathbf{v} \right]$$

while the second action is given by $t[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}] = [\mathbf{u}, t\mathbf{v}]$. A homotopy $h: [0, 1/2] \times S^1 \times ST\mathbb{CP}^n \rightarrow ST\mathbb{CP}^n$ between the two is given by sending $(\mu, t, [\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}])$ to

$$\left[(\mu t + (1 - \mu)) \mathbf{u} + \sqrt{\mu(1 - \mu)}(t - 1) \mathbf{v}, (t(1 - \mu) + \mu) \mathbf{v} + \sqrt{\mu(1 - \mu)}(t - 1) \mathbf{u} \right].$$

$\square$

Proof of Theorem D. The first part of the theorem, which describes the groups $H_*(STCP^n)$, follows directly from Lemma 10.1. The rest of the theorem gives formulas describing the various module structures on $H_*(STCP^n)$. Those formulas that state that a certain quantity vanishes are all true for degree reasons. We must prove the remaining two formulas.

Let us show that $e_{2j+1}a_{n-1} = -(j+1)b_j$ in $H_*(STCP^n)$. In the case $j = 0$ this is the result of Lemma 10.7. For $j \geq 1$ we must show that the constant λ_j whose existence is guaranteed by Lemma 10.4 is equal to $-(j+1)$. Lemma 10.5 implies that $\lambda_j = \pm(j+1)$, while Lemma 10.6 implies that $\lambda_{j-1} = 1$ modulo $(j+1)$. These together imply that $\lambda_j = -(j+1)$ for all j , as required.

We now show that $R_S a_{n-1} = \binom{n+1}{2} b_0$. Recall that R_S is defined using the action of S^1 on $STCP^n$ inherited from the action of S^1 on TCP^n described in Proposition 8.2. By Lemma 10.8 this is homotopic to the action given by scalar multiplication in each fibre. Theorem 4.2 then states that R_S is the composite

$$H_*(STCP^n) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} H_*(CP^n) \xrightarrow{c_{n-1}(TCP^n)} H_{*-2n+2}(CP^n) \rightarrow H_{*+1}(STCP^n)$$

so that by the properties of a_{n-1} and b_0 listed in Lemma 10.1 we need only show that $\langle c_{n-1}(TCP^n), [CP^{n-1}] \rangle = \binom{n+1}{2}$. But this is immediate from the fact that $c(TCP^n) = (1+u)^{n+1}$ and that $\langle u^{n-1}, [CP^{n-1}] \rangle = 1$. $\square$

References

- [Bot56] Raoul Bott. An application of the Morse theory to the topology of Lie-groups. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 84:251–281, 1956.
- [CJ02] Ralph L. Cohen and John D. S. Jones. A homotopy theoretic realization of string topology. *Math. Ann.*, 324(4):773–798, 2002.
- [CJY04] Ralph L. Cohen, John D. S. Jones, and Jun Yan. The loop homology algebra of spheres and projective spaces. In *Categorical decomposition techniques in algebraic topology (Isle of Skye, 2001)*, volume 215 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 77–92. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004.
- [CK09] Ralph L. Cohen and John R. Klein. Umkehr maps. *Homology, Homotopy Appl.*, 11(1):17–33, 2009.
- [CS99] Moira Chas and Dennis Sullivan. String topology, 1999. Preprint, available from [arXiv:9911159](https://arxiv.org/abs/9911159).
- [FT08] Yves Félix and Jean-Claude Thomas. Rational BV-algebra in string topology. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 136(2):311–327, 2008.
- [Hep09] Richard A. Hepworth. String topology for Lie groups, 2009. Preprint, available from [arXiv:0905.1199v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/0905.1199v1).
- [Men09] Luc Menichi. String topology for spheres. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 84(1):135–157, 2009. With an appendix by Gerald Gaudens and Menichi.
- [MS74] John W. Milnor and James D. Stasheff. *Characteristic classes*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1974. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 76.

- [Spa81] Edwin H. Spanier. *Algebraic topology*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981. Corrected reprint.
- [Tam06] Hirotaka Tamanoi. Batalin-Vilkovisky Lie algebra structure on the loop homology of complex Stiefel manifolds. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, pages Art. ID 97193, 23, 2006.
- [Tam09] Hirotaka Tamanoi. Cap products in string topology. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 9(2):1201–1224, 2009.
- [Tra08] Thomas Tradler. The Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra on Hochschild cohomology induced by infinity inner products. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 58(7):2351–2379, 2008.
- [Vai07] Dmitry Vaintrob. The string topology BV algebra, Hochschild cohomology and the Goldman bracket on surfaces, 2007. Preprint, available from [arXiv:0702859](#).
- [Yan07] Tian Yang. A Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra structure on the Hochschild cohomology of truncated polynomials, 2007. Preprint, available from [arXiv:0707.4213](#).